arXiv:1211.1877v1 [math.CT] 8 Nov 2012

Gluing pseudofunctors via n-fold categories

Weizhe Zheng[*]

Abstract

Gluing of two pseudofunctors has been studied by Deligne, Ayoub, and others in the construction of compactly-supported direct image functors in étale cohomology, stable homotopy, and mixed motives of schemes. In this article, we study more generally the gluing of finitely many pseudofunctors. With the help of n-fold categories, we organize gluing data for n pseudofunctors into 2-categories and establish a general criterion for the equivalence of such 2-categories. Results of this article are used in [15] to construct compactly-supported direct image functors in étale cohomology of Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Introduction

In [4, Section 3], Deligne studied the gluing of two cofibered categories in order to construct the compactly-supported direct image functor $Rf_!$ between derived categories of étale sheaves for a compactifiable morphism f of schemes. Recall that a category cofibered over a category $\mathcal{C}$ can be seen as a pseudofunctor from $\mathcal{C}$ to the 2-category of categories (in a suitable universe) by means of a cleavage [9, Section 8]. Deligne's theory is thus essentially a method of gluing two pseudofunctors with the same target. It has been applied to other contexts, such as the construction of $Rf_!$ between triangulated categories of mixed motives [3, 2.2.7]. Ayoub developed a variant of Deligne's theory [1, Th. 1.3.1] in order to construct $Rf_!$ between stable $\mathbf{A}^1$-homotopy categories of schemes, where f is a morphism between finitely-presented quasi-projective schemes over a base scheme.

In this article, we study more generally the gluing of finitely many pseudofunctors with the same target. The case of three pseudofunctors is necessary for the construction of $Rf_!$ and base change morphisms in [15] for a morphism f of Deligne-Mumford stacks, because compactification of coarse spaces only allows us to decompose a morphism into three morphisms.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category (1.7), $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. For arrowy (i.e. defined by restricting morphisms, see 1.1) 2-subcategories $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$, we define a 2-category $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, whose objects are collections $(F_i\colon \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{D})_{1\le i\le n}$ of pseudofunctors endowed with some extra compatibility data between the pseudofunctors (5.3). For $n=1$, $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is simply the 2-category of pseudofunctors from $\mathcal{A}_1$ to $\mathcal{D}$. Our main result is the following (4.12 and 5.10), which can be applied recursively.

Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an arrowy 2-subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ containing $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$. Assume the following*

1. *Every morphism of f of $\mathcal{B}$ can be completed into a diagram*

(∗)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[dr, "f"'] & Z \arrow[d, "p"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\Swarrow\alpha"] \\ & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where i is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and p is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_2$.

2. *For every diagram (∗) in $\mathcal{C}$ with f in $\mathcal{B}$ and p in $\mathcal{A}_2$, i is in $\mathcal{B}$.*

3. *The pair $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ is squarable in $\mathcal{C}$ (4.11 (1)).*

4. *For all $3 \le i \le n$, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is stable under isomorphism and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_i)$ is squarable and squaring (5.9) in $\mathcal{C}$.*

---

[*] Morningside Center of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; email: `wzheng@math.ac.cn`

Then for every 2*-category* $\mathcal{D}$*,*

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}}\colon \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$*-equivalence (1.2).*

Conditions (2), (3), and (4) above are all satisfied if $\mathcal{C}$ admits 2-fiber products and $\mathcal{A}_2,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n,\mathcal{B}$ are stable under 2-base change and taking diagonals in $\mathcal{C}$.

For $n=2$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}$ (in this case Conditions (2) and (4) are automatically satisfied), we get a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. This is a common generalization of the results of Deligne and Ayoub. Even under their hypotheses, our result is more precise in the sense that we establish a 2-equivalence of 2-categories, whereas previous results only dealt with *objects* of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. This precision allows us to construct pseudonatural transformations such as the base change equivalence in [15].

We study the gluing of pseudofunctors in the framework of n-fold categories. An n-fold category structure on a set of objects is a wider categorical structure consisting of n sets of morphisms (which could be considered as n directions), each endowed with its own composition law. This often encodes more information than a higher category structure of the same dimension, such as an n-category. There is, however, a rich interplay between wider categories and higher categories, of which the most relevant part to our study is the relation between n-fold categories and 2-categories. We construct an n-fold category $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$ associated to 2-categories, and a $(2,1)$-categories $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$ associated to an n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$. These constructions allow us to replace $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ for $n\geq 2$ by the 2-equivalent 2-category $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ and reduce the study of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ to the study of $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 1, we fix some conventions and prove some preliminary results on 2-categories. In Section 2, after recalling the definition of an n-fold category, we investigate the relation between 2-categories and n-fold categories. In particular, we construct $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$. In Section 3, we record the functorial properties of these constructions. In Sections 4 and 5, we apply these constructions to study the gluing of pseudofunctors and prove the main theorem. There are some technical differences between the two cases $n=2$ and $n\geq 3$. We deal with them separately in the two sections. In Sections 6 through 8, we develop several tools for the application of the main theorem. In Sections 6 and 7, we introduce an alternative set of gluing data that only makes use of 2-Cartesian squares instead of 2-commutative squares. This alternative set is easier to construct in applications. In Section 8, we check the axioms for gluing data in the case when the data are constructed by adjunction. Finally, in an appendix (Section 9), we organize double pseudofunctors between double categories (i.e. 2-fold categories) into double categories and relate them to our constructions in Section 2.

In a joint work with Yifeng Liu [12], we establish analogues of some results of this article in the ∞-categorical setting, which are used in [11] to construct Grothendieck's six operations on Artin stacks. We remark that specific features of 2-categories have been exploited in this article so that the full generality of our results cannot be deduced from [12].

Acknowledgments

In the course of this work the author has benefitted a lot from conversions with Yifeng Liu. The author also thanks Johan de Jong, Ofer Gabber, and Luc Illusie for useful conversations. This work was partially supported by China's Recruitment Program of Global Experts, as well as Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics and National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

1 Preliminaries on 2-categories

In this section, we fix some conventions and notations on 2-categories and prove some preliminary results. We generally adopt the conventions of [8, 1.3] for basic notions about 2-categories. In particular, a 2-functor is assumed to be strict and a pseudofunctor is not assumed to be strictly unital or strictly compatible with composition. A 2-*equivalence* is a pseudonatural functor $F\colon \mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{D}$ such that there exist a pseudonatural functor $G\colon \mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}$ and pseudonatural equivalences $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}\to GF$ and $FG\to\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$. We will use the term *morphism* for 1-cells of a 2-category. Let us introduce a few more terminologies.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be 2-categories and $F\colon \mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{D}$ be a pseudofunctor. We say that F is 1-*truncated* (resp. *pseudofaithful*, resp. 2-*faithful*, resp. 2-*fully faithful*, resp. *pseudofull*) if for every pair of objects X and Y of $\mathcal{C}$, the functor

$$F_{XY}\colon \mathcal{C}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{D}(FX,FY)$$

is faithful (resp. fully faithful, resp. fully faithful and injective on objects, resp. an isomorphism of categories, resp. essentially surjective). We say that a 2-subcategory of a 2-category is 2-*faithful* (resp. 2-*fully faithful*) if the inclusion 2-functor is 2-faithful (resp. 2-fully faithful). We say a 2-subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of a 2-category $\mathcal{D}$ is *arrowy* if it is 2-faithful and $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$. Such a 2-subcategory is thus determined by its set of morphisms.

Strict fibers of a 1-truncated 2-functor have no 2-cells other than identity 2-cells.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. A $\mathcal{D}$-*category* is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ consisting of a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ and a 1-truncated 2-functor $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$. We organize $\mathcal{D}$-categories into a 2-category $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{Cat}$ as follows. If $(\mathcal{B}, E)$ and $(\mathcal{C}, F)$ are $\mathcal{D}$-categories, a $\mathcal{D}$-*functor* $(\mathcal{B}, E) \to (\mathcal{C}, F)$ is a 2-functor $G\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $E = FG$. If $G, H\colon (\mathcal{B}, E) \to (\mathcal{C}, F)$ are $\mathcal{D}$-functors, a $\mathcal{D}$-*natural transformation* is a 2-natural transformation $\alpha\colon G \Rightarrow H$ such that $F * \alpha\colon FG \Rightarrow FH$ is $\mathbf{1}_E$. A $\mathcal{D}$-*natural equivalence* is a $\mathcal{D}$-natural transformation α that is invertible as a 2-natural transformation. In this case α^{-1} is automatically a $\mathcal{D}$-natural equivalence. We say that a $\mathcal{D}$-functor $G\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-*equivalence* if it is an equivalence in the 2-category $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{Cat}$, namely, if there exist a $\mathcal{D}$-functor $H\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$-natural equivalences $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow GH$ and $HG \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}$. In this case we say that G and H are $\mathcal{D}$-*quasi-inverse* to each other.

A $\mathcal{D}$-functor $G\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-equivalence if and only if it is 2-fully faithful and every object Y of $\mathcal{C}$ is in the $\mathcal{D}$-essential image of G. The last condition means that there exists an object X of $\mathcal{B}$ and an equivalence $GX \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ whose image in $\mathcal{D}$ is an identity. A $\mathcal{D}$-equivalence $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ induces an equivalence between the strict fiber categories $\mathcal{B}_X \to \mathcal{C}_X$ for every object X of $\mathcal{D}$.

Notation 1.3. (1) Let C be a set and $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. We view C as a discrete 2-category and denote by $\mathcal{D}^C$ the 2-category of 2-functors $C \to \mathcal{D}$. An object of this category is a map $C \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$. A morphism $\alpha\colon F \to F'$ is a family

$$(\alpha(X)\colon FX \to F'X)_{X\in C}$$

of morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$. A 2-cell $\Xi\colon \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is a family

$$(\Xi(X)\colon \alpha(X) \Rightarrow \beta(X))_{X\in C}$$

of 2-cells of $\mathcal{D}$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be 2-categories. We denote by $2\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ (resp. $\mathrm{UPsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, resp. $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$) the 2-category of 2-functors (resp. strictly unital pseudofunctors, resp. pseudofunctors) $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$. We have inclusions $2\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{UPsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, of which the second one is 2-fully faithful. We view these 2-categories as $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-categories via the forgetful 2-functor

$$|{-}|\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$$

The following is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ be 2-categories, $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a pseudofunctor, H be an object of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$, $\eta\colon |F| \to H$ be an equivalence in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$. Assume that to every morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is associated a square in $\mathcal{D}$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "Ff"] \arrow[d, "\eta(X)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\alpha_f}{\Nearrow}"] & FY \arrow[d, "\eta(Y)"] \\
HX \arrow[r, "g_f"'] & HY
\end{tikzcd}$$

where α_f is an invertible 2-cell. Then there exists a unique pair (G, ϵ), where $G\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a pseudofunctor and $\epsilon\colon F \to G$ is a pseudonatural equivalence, such that $|G| = H$, $|\epsilon| = \eta$, $G(f) = g_f$ and $\epsilon(f) = \alpha_f$ for every morphism f of $\mathcal{C}$.

Applying the lemma to the unital constraints of F, we obtain the following.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be 2-categories. Then the inclusion* $\mathrm{UPsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ *is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence.*

Proposition 1.6. *Let $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a pseudofunctor such that $|F|\colon \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$ is a bijection. For every 2-category $\mathcal{E}$, we consider the $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$$

induced by F.

(1) If F is pseudofull, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is 2-faithful for every 2-category $\mathcal{E}$.

(2) The following conditions are equivalent:

  (a) F is a 2-equivalence.

  (b) There exist a pseudofunctor $G\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $|G|$ is the inverse of $|F|$ and pseudonatural transformations $\eta\colon \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ and $\epsilon\colon FG \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ such that $\eta(X) = \mathbf{1}_X$ and $\epsilon(Y) = \mathbf{1}_Y$ for all objects X of $\mathcal{C}$ and Y of $\mathcal{D}$.

  (c) $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence for every 2-category $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. (1) Let G and H be morphisms of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$. We need to show that the functor

$$\mathrm{PsNat}(G, H) \to \mathrm{PsNat}(GF, HF)$$

between categories of pseudonatural transformations is fully faithful and injective on objects. Let α and β be two pseudonatural transformations from G to H.

We identify the set of modifications $\alpha \Rrightarrow \beta$ and the set of modifications $\alpha F \Rrightarrow \beta F$ with subsets of the set of 2-cells $|\alpha| \Rightarrow |\beta|$ in $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$. Let $\Xi\colon \alpha F \Rrightarrow \beta F$ be a modification. Let $g\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$. By the pseudofullness of F, there exists an invertible 2-cell $\delta\colon g \Rightarrow h = Ff$ in $\mathcal{D}$, where f is a morphism of $\mathcal{C}$. The front, back, left, right, and bottom squares of the cube

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha(Y)G(g) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\alpha(g)"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\Xi Y"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "G(\delta)"'] & & H(g)\alpha(X) \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\Xi X"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "H(\delta)"] & \\
& \beta(Y)G(g) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\beta(g)"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "G(\delta)"] & & H(g)\beta(X) \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "H(\delta)"] \\
\alpha(Y)G(h) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\alpha(h)"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\Xi Y"'] & & H(h)\alpha(X) \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\Xi X"] & \\
& \beta(Y)G(h) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\beta(h)"] & & H(h)\beta(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. It follows that the top square commutes as well. This shows that Ξ is a modification $\alpha \Rrightarrow \beta$.

Assume $\alpha F = \beta F$. Let g and δ be as above. The square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha(Y)G(g) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha(g)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G(\delta)"'] & H(g)\alpha(X) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "H(\delta)"] \\
\alpha(Y)G(h) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha(h)"] & H(h)\alpha(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. The same holds for β. Since $\alpha(h) = (\alpha F)(f) = (\beta F)(f) = \beta(h)$, we have $\alpha(g) = \beta(g)$. Therefore, $\alpha = \beta$.

(2) Under assumption (b), η and ϵ induce $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-natural transformations $\mathbf{1} \to \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}\Psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}\Phi_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathbf{1}$, where $\Psi_{\mathcal{E}}\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ is induced by G. Thus (b) implies (c).

To prove that (c) implies (a), let $\Psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ be a $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{E})}$-quasi-inverse of $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $G = \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$. Then the $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-natural equivalence $\mathbf{1} \to \Phi_{\mathcal{C}}\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ and the $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-natural equivalence $\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}\Phi_{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathbf{1}$ induce invertible pseudonatural equivalences $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(G) \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$. There exists a pseudonatural equivalence between the two compositions in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "\Psi_{\mathcal{C}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},F)"'] & \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{C}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D},F)"] \\
\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, "\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}"] & \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})
\end{tikzcd}$$

This defines a pseudonatural equivalence between $\Psi_{\mathcal{D}}(G)$ and FG. Therefore, F is a 2-equivalence.

To prove that (a) implies (b), let $G\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a pseudofunctor endowed with pseudonatural equivalences $\eta\colon \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ and $FG \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$. For every object Y of $\mathcal{D}$, let $G'Y = |F|^{-1}Y$. For every morphism $g\colon Y \to Y'$ of $\mathcal{D}$, choose a morphism $G'g\colon G'Y \to G'Y'$ and an invertible 2-cell ψ_g in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G'Y \arrow[r, "G'g"] \arrow[d, "\eta(G'Y)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\psi_g \Nearrow"] & G'Y' \arrow[d, "\eta(G'Y')"] \\
GY \arrow[r, "Gg"] & GY'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By 1.4, this determines a pseudofunctor $G'\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $|G'|\colon \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is the inverse of $|F|$ and a pseudonatural equivalence $\psi\colon G' \to G$ such that $\psi(Y) = \eta(G'Y)$ for every object Y of $\mathcal{D}$. For any morphism $f\colon X \to X'$ of $\mathcal{C}$, η induces the following square in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\eta(X)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\eta_f \Nearrow"] & X' \arrow[d, "\eta(X')"] \\
GFX \arrow[r, "GFf"] & GFX'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\eta(X')$ is an equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$, $\psi_{Ff}^{-1}\eta_f$ induces an invertible 2-cell $\eta'_f\colon f \Rightarrow G'Ff$. This defines a pseudonatural transformation $\eta'\colon \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \to G'F$ satisfying $\eta'(X) = \mathbf{1}_X$ for every object X of $\mathcal{C}$. For every morphism $g\colon Y \to Y'$ in $\mathcal{D}$, choose a morphism $f\colon G'Y \to G'Y'$ and an invertible 2-cell $\alpha\colon g \Rightarrow Ff$. The composition

$$\epsilon_g\colon FG'g \xRightarrow{FG'\alpha} FG'Ff \xRightarrow{(\eta'_{Ff})^{-1}} Ff \xRightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} g$$

does not depend on the choice of (f,α). This defines a pseudonatural transformation $\epsilon\colon FG' \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ such that $\epsilon(Y) = \mathbf{1}_Y$ for every object Y of $\mathcal{D}$.

□

Definition 1.7. A $(2,1)$-*category* is a 2-category whose 2-cells are invertible.

Construction 1.8. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-category. We define two $(2,1)$-categories, $\mathcal{LC}$ and $\mathcal{RC}$, and a category $\mathcal{OC}$, such that $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{LC}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{RC}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{OC}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, as follows. For objects X and Y of $\mathcal{C}$, $(\mathcal{RC})(X,Y)$ is the greatest subgroupoid of $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$, $(\mathcal{LC})(X,Y) = S^{-1}\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$, where S is the set of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$, and $(\mathcal{OC})(X,Y) = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}(X,Y))$.

The 2-functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{LC}$ and the inclusion 2-functor $\mathcal{RC} \to \mathcal{C}$ induce isomorphisms of 2-categories

$$\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{LC},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}), \quad \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{RC}) \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{C}),$$

for every $(2,1)$-category $\mathcal{D}$. For any 2-category $\mathcal{D}$, the 2-functor $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{LC},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ identifies $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{LC},\mathcal{D})$ with the 2-fully faithful subcategory of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ spanned by pseudofunctors that factor through $\mathcal{RD}$.

Notation 1.9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-category. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{2\text{-op}}$) the 2-category obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by reversing the morphisms and 2-cells (resp. 2-cells only). In other words $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^{2\text{-op}}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, and, for any pair of objects X and Y of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}(Y,X) = \mathcal{C}^{2\text{-op}}(X,Y) = \mathcal{C}(X,Y)^{\mathrm{op}}$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a $(2,1)$-category, inversion of the 2-cells defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{2\text{-op}}$.

2 2-categories and n-fold categories

In this section, after recalling the definitions of n-fold categories and n-fold functors in 2.2, we investigate the relation between 2-categories and n-fold categories. The obvious functor ρ^* from the category categories to the category of n-fold categories admits a left adjoint (2.18). We establish an analogue of this for 2-categories and pseudofunctors in 2.23. We construct an analogue $\mathbb{Q}$ (2.13) of ρ^*, an analogue $\mathbf{T}$ (2.10) of the left adjoint, and the 2-category $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$ (2.19) of pseudofunctors from an n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$ to a 2-category $\mathcal{D}$. These will be used in the definition of the 2-category of gluing data (4.2 and 5.1).

Notation 2.1. For $m \geq 0$, we let $[m]$ denote the totally ordered set $\{0,1,\dots,m\}$. We let $\mathcal{I}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}$) denote the category whose objects are $[0]$ and $[1]$ and whose morphisms are strictly order-preserving (resp. order-preserving maps). The only nondegenerate morphisms in $\mathcal{I}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}$) are $d_0^1, d_1^1\colon [0]\to[1]$ (resp. and $s\colon [1]\to[0]$). We denote by Set the category of sets. A category $\mathcal{C}$ can be viewed as a functor $\mathcal{C}\colon \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{op}}\to \mathrm{Set}$ with $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}([0])$ and $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}([1])$ endowed with a composition map

$$\mathcal{C}([1])\times_{\mathcal{C}(d_1^1),\mathcal{C}([0]),\mathcal{C}(d_0^1)}\mathcal{C}([1])\to\mathcal{C}([1]).$$

We omit the maps $\mathcal{C}(d_1^1)$ and $\mathcal{C}(d_0^1)$ when no confusion arises.

We identify objects of $\mathcal{I}^n$ with elements of $\{0,1\}^n$ and let ϵ_i denote the element such that $\epsilon_i(i)=1$ and $\epsilon_i(j)=0$ for $j\neq i$.

It is possible to define n-fold categories inductively. For our purpose, it is more convenient to adopt the following direct combinatorial definition, similar to [7, 2.2][1].

Definition 2.2. An *n-fold category* is a functor $\mathbb{C}\colon (\mathcal{I}^n)^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathrm{Set}$ endowed with a composition map

$$\circ^i\colon \mathbb{C}(\alpha)\times_{\mathbb{C}(\alpha')}\mathbb{C}(\alpha)\to\mathbb{C}(\alpha)$$

for every $1\leq i\leq n$ and every pair (α,α') of objects of $\mathcal{I}^n$ satisfying $\alpha=\alpha'+\epsilon_i$, such that, for $1\leq i,j\leq n$, $i\neq j$, and $\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta'\in\mathcal{I}^n$ satisfying $\alpha=\alpha'+\epsilon_i$, $\beta=\beta'+\epsilon_i$, $\alpha=\beta+\epsilon_j$, the following axioms hold:

(1) (compatibility with source and target) The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C}(\alpha)\times_{\mathbb{C}(\alpha')}\mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[r, "\circ^i"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{C}(\beta)\times_{\mathbb{C}(\beta')}\mathbb{C}(\beta) \arrow[r, "\circ^i"] & \mathbb{C}(\beta),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by d_1^1 (resp. d_0^1), commutes.

(2) (compatibility with unit) The above diagram with vertical arrows reversed and induced by s commutes.

(3) (interchange law) The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\circ^i\times\circ^i"] \arrow[d, "\circ^j\times\circ^j"'] & \mathbb{C}(\alpha)\times_{\mathbb{C}(\alpha')}\mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[d, "\circ^j"] \\
\mathbb{C}(\alpha)\times_{\mathbb{C}(\beta)}\mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[r, "\circ^i"] & \mathbb{C}(\alpha)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where X is the product of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C}(\alpha') & \mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{C}(\beta) & & \mathbb{C}(\beta) \\
\mathbb{C}(\alpha) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathbb{C}(\alpha') & \mathbb{C}(\alpha). \arrow[l] \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[1] Our axioms (1) and (2) appear to be missing in [7, 2.2].

Elements of the set $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{0})$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$, are called *objects* of $\mathbb{C}$.

An *n-fold functor* $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ between n-fold categories $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{D}$ is a natural transformation compatible with the composition maps. We let $n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ denote the set of n-fold functors from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{D}$.

Example 2.3. A 2-fold category is exactly a *double category* in the sense of Ehresmann [5, Def. 10, p. 389]. Recall that a double category $\mathbb{C}$ consists of a set $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(0,0)$ of objects, a set $\mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(1,0)$ of horizontal morphisms, a set $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(0,1)$ of vertical morphisms, a set $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(1,1)$ of squares and is equipped with various sources, targets, and associative and unital compositions. We will sometimes use the notations $\mathrm{h} = 1$ and $\mathrm{v} = 2$.

Remark 2.4. Fiore and Paoli defined the n-fold nerve functor, which is a fully faithful functor from the category of n-fold categories to the category of n-simplicial sets [7, 2.14, 2.17]. The essential image of the functor consists of n-simplicial sets satisfying the unique right lifting property with respect to the inclusions

$$\Lambda^{m_i}_k \boxtimes \boxtimes_{\substack{1\le j\le n\\ j\neq i}} \Delta^{m_j} \subseteq \Delta^{m_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Delta^{m_n},$$

$1 \le i \le n$, $0 < k < m_i$, $m_1, \dots, m_n \ge 0$. Here $\Lambda^{m_i}_k \subseteq \Delta^{m_i}$ denotes the k-th horn in the m_i-simplex. This generalizes [13, 1.1.2.2].

Notation 2.5. Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ be categories. We let $\mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_n$ denote the external product, which is the n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$ given by $\mathbb{C}(\alpha) = \prod_{1\le i\le n} \mathcal{C}([\alpha_i])$ with $\circ^i$ given by the composition in $\mathcal{C}_i$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{D}$ be n-fold categories. We define an n-fold category $\mathbb{F}$ as follows. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^n$, $\mathbb{F}(\alpha)$ is the set of collections of maps

$$(F_\beta \colon \mathbb{C}(\beta) \to n\mathrm{FoldFun}((\alpha_1 \times \beta_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes (\alpha_n \times \beta_n), \mathbb{D}))_{\beta \in \mathcal{I}^n},$$

functorial in β and compatible with compositions. Since $\mathbb{D}(\alpha) \simeq n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\alpha_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \alpha_n, \mathbb{D})$, $n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}) \simeq \mathbb{F}(0, \dots, 0)$. This endows $n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ with the structure of an n-fold category.

Definition 2.7. Let $\phi \colon \{1, \dots m\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a map and $\mathbb{C}$ be an n-fold category. We define an m-fold category $\phi^*\mathbb{C}$ by $(\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\alpha) = n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\boxtimes_{j=1}^n \prod_{\phi(i)=j} \alpha_i, \mathbb{C})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^m$. For $1 \le i \le m$, $\circ^i$ is given by $\circ^{\phi(i)}$. More precisely, for $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{I}^m$ satisfying $\alpha = \alpha' + \epsilon_i$, $\circ^i$ is given by the map

$$(\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\alpha) \times_{(\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\alpha')} (\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\alpha) \simeq n\mathrm{FoldFun}\left(\mathop{\boxtimes}_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\phi(k)=j} \tilde{\alpha}_k, \mathbb{C}\right) \to (\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\alpha)$$

induced by d^2_1, where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_k = \begin{cases} [2] & \text{if } k = i, \\ \alpha_k & \text{if } k \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Example 2.8.
1. Let $\iota_i \colon \{1\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the map of image $\{i\}$. For an n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathrm{Ob}(\iota_i^*\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}(0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathrm{Mor}(\iota_i^*\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_i)$.
2. Let $\rho \colon \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1\}$. For a category $\mathcal{C}$, $(\rho^*\mathcal{C})(\alpha) = \mathrm{Fun}(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \mathcal{C})$.
3. Let $t \colon \{1, 2\} \to \{1, 2\}$ be the map swapping 1 and 2. Then $\mathbb{C}^t = t^*\mathbb{C}$ is the transpose of $\mathbb{C}$ in the sense that $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}^t) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{C}^t) = \mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{C}^t) = \mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{C})$, and $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{C}^t)$ is obtained from $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{C})$ by transposing the squares.

The operation ι_i^* has the following refinement.

Definition 2.9. To any double category $\mathbb{C}$, one associates the *underlying horizontal* 2*-category* $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C}$ and the *underlying vertical* 2*-category* $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C}$. The underlying category of $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C}$ is $\iota_1^*\mathbb{C} = (\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{C}))$ and the underlying category of $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C}$ is $\iota_2^*\mathbb{C} = (\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{C}))$. A 2-cell $\alpha \colon f \Rightarrow g$ in $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C}$ is a square in $\mathbb{C}$ of the form

(2.9.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

A 2-cell $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow g$ in $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C}$ is a square in $\mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "f"] & X \arrow[d, "g"] \\
Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y
\end{tikzcd}\tag{2.9.2}$$

We have isomorphisms $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{C}^t) \simeq (\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C})^{\text{2-op}}$, $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{C}^t) \simeq (\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C})^{\text{2-op}}$ (Notation 1.9).

More generally, to an n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$, and $1 \le i, j \le n$, $i \neq j$, one associates the 2-category

$$\mathbf{H}_{i,j}\mathbb{C} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(\iota_{i,j}^*\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } i < j, \\ \mathbf{V}(\iota_{j,i}^*\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

where $\iota_{i,j}\colon \{1,2\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ is the map sending 1 to i and 2 to j.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be an n-fold category. We define the *2-category generated by* $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$, satisfying $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(0,\dots,0)$, as follows. A morphism $X \to Y$ of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$ is a path

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \to \cdots \to X_{m-1} \xrightarrow{f_m} X_m = Y, \quad m \ge 0$$

where $f_i \in \coprod_{1 \le k \le n} \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, $1 \le i \le m$. The identity morphism $\mathbf{1}_X\colon X \to X$ is the path of length 0. Composition of morphisms is given by concatenation of paths and is denoted by $*$. An atomic transformation between two paths sharing a source and a target is one of the following

1. $\iota^k_{g,f}\colon g * f \Rightarrow g * \mathbf{1}^k_Y * f$ or $\theta^k_{g,f}\colon g * \mathbf{1}^k_Y * f \Rightarrow g * f$, where $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ is a sequence of paths, $\mathbf{1}^k_Y \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$ is identity morphism of Y.
2. $\gamma_{g,h',h,f}\colon g * h' * h * f \Rightarrow g * (h'h) * f$ or $\delta_{g,h',h,f}\colon g * (h'h) * f \Rightarrow g * h' * h * f$, where $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{h} Y' \xrightarrow{h'} Y'' \xrightarrow{g} Z$ is a sequence of paths, h and h' belong to the same $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$ and $h'h$ is their composition in $\mathbb{C}$.
3. $\sigma_{g,D,f}\colon g * i * q * f \Rightarrow g * p * j * f$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, $p, q \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_{k'})$, $k < k'$, $D \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$ is of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "q"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "i"] & W,
\end{tikzcd}\tag{2.10.1}$$

   $g\colon W \to W'$ and $f\colon X' \to X$ are paths.

A transformation $f \Rightarrow g$ is a sequence of atomic transformations $f = f_0 \Rightarrow f_1 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow f_{n-1} \Rightarrow f_n = g$. Composition of transformations is denoted by $\circ$. If $f, g\colon X \to Y$, $h\colon W \to X$, $h'\colon Y \to Z$ are paths and $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow g$ is a transformation, then one has the concatenated transformation $h' * \alpha * h\colon h' * f * h \Rightarrow h' * g * h$. Consider systems which associate to every pair of paths (f, g) sharing a source and a target, an equivalence relation on the set of transformations $f \Rightarrow g$. We say that one system $\sim$ is finer than another system $\sim'$ if $\alpha \sim \beta$ implies $\alpha \sim' \beta$. There is a finest system $\sim$ satisfying the following conditions

1. (Stability under composition and concatenation) If $f, g\colon X \to Y$, $h\colon W \to X$, $h'\colon Y \to Z$ are paths, $\alpha \sim \beta\colon f \Rightarrow g$, $\eta\colon f' \Rightarrow f$, $\eta'\colon g \Rightarrow g'$ are transformations, then $\eta' \circ \alpha \circ \eta \sim \eta' \circ \beta \circ \eta$ and $h' * \alpha * h \sim h' * \beta * h$.
2. (Compatibility with concatenation) If $f, f'\colon X \to Y$, $g, g'\colon Y \to Z$ are paths, $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow f'$ and $\beta\colon g \Rightarrow g'$ are transformations, then $(f' * \beta) \circ (\alpha * g) \sim (\alpha * g') \circ (f * \beta)$.
3. For $1 \le k \le n$ and every object X of $\mathbb{C}$,
$$\theta^k_{\mathbf{1}_X,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \iota^k_{\mathbf{1}_X,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_X}, \quad \iota^k_{\mathbf{1}_X,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \theta^k_{\mathbf{1}_X,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}^k_X}.$$
4. For $1 \le k \le n$ and $f\colon X \to Y$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$,
$$\iota^k_{f,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \delta_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f,\mathbf{1}^k_X,\mathbf{1}_X}, \quad \iota^k_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f} \sim \delta_{\mathbf{1}_Y,\mathbf{1}^k_Y,f,\mathbf{1}_X},$$

(5) For $1 \leq k \leq n$ and every sequence $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ of morphisms in $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$,

$$\delta_{\mathbf{1}_Z,g,f,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_Z,g,f,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \mathbf{1}_{g*f}, \quad \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_Z,g,f,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \delta_{\mathbf{1}_Z,g,f,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \mathbf{1}_{gf}.$$

(6) For $1 \leq k \leq n$ and every sequence $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$ of morphisms in $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$,

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{1}_W,h,gf,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \gamma_{h,g,f,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_W,hg,f,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_W,h,g,f}.$$

(7) For $1 \leq k, k' \leq n$ $(k \neq k')$ and $f \colon X \to Y$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \iota^{k'}_{f,\mathbf{1}_X} \sim \iota^{k'}_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f} & \text{if } k < k', \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,\mathbf{1}_X} \circ \iota^{k'}_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f} \sim \iota^{k'}_{f,\mathbf{1}_X} & \text{if } k' < k, \end{cases}$$

where $D = s(f) \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$ is the identity square

(2.10.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y. \end{tikzcd}$$

(8) For $1 \leq k, k' \leq n$ $(k \neq k')$ and $D'' = D' \circ^k D$ in $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$ of the form

(2.10.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "p_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D"] & X_2 \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d, "p_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D'"] & X_3 \arrow[d, "p_3"] \\ Y_1 \arrow[r, "i"] & Y_2 \arrow[r, "i'"] & Y_3, \end{tikzcd}$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{p_3,j',j,\mathbf{1}_{X_1}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_{Y_3},D',j} \circ \sigma_{i',D,\mathbf{1}_{X_1}} \sim \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_{Y_3},D'',\mathbf{1}_{X_1}} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_{Y_3},i',i,p_1} & \text{if } k < k', \\ \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_{Y_3},i',i,p_1} \circ \sigma_{i',D,\mathbf{1}_{X_1}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_{Y_3},D',j} \sim \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_{Y_3},D'',\mathbf{1}_{X_1}} \circ \gamma_{p_3,j',j,\mathbf{1}_{X_1}} & \text{if } k' < k. \end{cases}$$

(9) For $1 \leq k < k' < k'' \leq n$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'} + \epsilon_{k''})$ of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[rr, "b'"] \arrow[dr, "q'"] \arrow[dd, "x"'] & & Y' \arrow[dr, "p'"] \arrow[dd, "y"] & \\ & Z' \arrow[rr, "a'"] \arrow[dd, "z"] & & W' \arrow[dd, "w"] \\ X \arrow[rr, "b"] \arrow[dr, "q"'] & & Y \arrow[dr, "p"] & \\ & Z \arrow[rr, "a"] & & W \end{tikzcd}$$

where $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_{k'})$, $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_{k''})$, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,I,b'} \circ \sigma_{p,J',\mathbf{1}_{X'}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,K,x} \sim \sigma_{w,K',\mathbf{1}_{X'}} \circ \sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,J,q'} \circ \sigma_{a,I',\mathbf{1}_{X'}},$$

where I, I', J, J', K, K' are respectively the right, left, front, back, bottom, top faces of the cube.

A 2-cell $f \Rightarrow g$ of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$ is an equivalence class of transformations under this system of equivalence relations. Composition of 2-cells is given by composition of transformations.

Remark 2.11. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category, considered as a 1-fold category. There is an obvious 2-functor $F \colon \mathbf{T}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ sending a sequence $f_m * \cdots * f_1$ to its composition $f_m \dots f_1$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and all 2-cells to identities. There is an obvious pseudofunctor $G \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathcal{C}$ sending f to f with coherence constraint given by ι and γ. We have $FG = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and there is an obvious pseudonatural equivalence $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{T}\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ given by γ. In particular, F and G are 2-equivalences.

Example 2.12. Let α be an object of $\mathcal{I}^n$. Let us describe more explicitly the 2-fully faithful 2-category $\gamma_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{T}\boxtimes_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ spanned by objects that are not targets of $\iota^k_{g,f}$. We have $\mathrm{Ob}(\gamma_\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. For objects a, b of γ_α, the category of morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}_{\gamma_\alpha}(a,b)$ is given by a partially ordered set

$$\begin{cases} \text{set of total orders on } J_{a,b} = \{1 \le i \le n \mid a_i \neq b_i\} & \text{if } a \le b, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For total orders f and g on $J_{a,b}$, there exists a 2-cell $f \Rightarrow g$ if and only if $\mathrm{Inv}(f) \subseteq \mathrm{Inv}(g)$, where $\mathrm{Inv}(f) = \{(i,j) \mid i < j, \text{ but } i >_f j\} \subseteq J^2_{a,b}$ is the set of inversions. For $f\colon a \to b$ and $g\colon b \to c$, the composition $g \circ f$ is the unique order on $J_{a,c} = J_{b,c} \coprod J_{a,b}$ extending f and g such that $i <_{g\circ f} j$ for all $i \in J_{b,c}$ and $j \in J_{a,b}$.

Definition 2.13. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an 2-category. We define an n-fold category $\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}$, isomorphic to $\rho^*\mathcal{C}$ when $\mathcal{C}$ is a 1-category (in the notation of 2.8 (2)), by $(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C})(\alpha) = 2\mathrm{Fun}(\gamma_\alpha, \mathcal{C})$. For $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{I}^n$ satisfying $\alpha = \alpha' + \epsilon_i$, $\circ^i$ is given by the map

$$(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathbb{C})(\alpha) \times_{(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C})(\alpha')} (\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C})(\alpha) \simeq 2\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}) \to (\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C})(\alpha)$$

induced by d^2_1, where $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{T}\boxtimes_{i=1}^n \tilde{\alpha}_i$ is the 2-fully faithful 2-subcategory spanned by objects that are not targets of $\iota^k_{g,f}$ or $\gamma_{g,h',h,f}$ for $h', h \neq \mathbf{1}_{Y'}$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is as in 2.7.

Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$ the greatest n-fold subcategory of $\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C})(\epsilon_i) = 2\mathrm{Fun}(\gamma_{\epsilon_i}, \mathcal{A}_i)$.

By definition, $\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{C},\dots,\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}$. The category $\iota_i^*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to the underlying category of $\mathcal{A}_i$. Moreover, for $i \neq j$, we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{H}_{i,j}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{A}_i$.

Remark 2.14. Let S be the set of morphisms $f\colon a \to b$ of γ_α such that $b = a + \epsilon_i$ for some i. By 1.4, for any pseudofunctor $F\colon \gamma_\alpha \to \mathcal{C}$, there exists a unique pair (G, ϵ), where $G\colon \gamma_\alpha \to \mathcal{C}$ is a 2-functor satisfying $G(f) = F(f)$ for all $f \in S$ and $\epsilon\colon F \to G$ is a pseudonatural equivalence such that $\epsilon(f)$ is the identity for $f \in S$ and ϵ induced by the coherence constraint of F.

Example 2.15. For $n = 2$, $\mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{C}$ is the *double category of up-squares* (also known as quintets) of $\mathcal{C}$ [2, 2.C.1, p. 272]. More generally, if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$ is the double category whose objects are objects of $\mathcal{C}$, horizontal morphisms are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, vertical morphisms are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, and squares are $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$*-squares in* $\mathcal{C}$, namely, diagrams in $\mathcal{C}$ of the form

(2.15.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\ Z \arrow[r, "i"] & W \arrow[from=2-1, to=1-2, phantom, "\overset{\alpha}{\nearrow\!\!\!\nearrow}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where i, j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ and p, q are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. Compositions in $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$ are given by composition in $\mathcal{C}$. We have isomorphisms $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{B}$.

Construction 2.16. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be an n-fold category. There is an obvious double functor

(2.16.1)
$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{Q}^n\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$$

sending $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ to X, $f \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_i)$ to f, and $D \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$ of the form (2.10.1) to the square induced by $\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W, D, \mathbf{1}_Z}$.

For $1 \le i, j \le n$, $i \neq j$, we define a pseudofunctor

(2.16.2)
$$\mathbf{H}_{i,j}\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$$

as follows. To an object X of $\mathbb{C}$, we associate X. To $f \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_i)$, we associate f. The coherence constraint is given by $\iota^i_{\mathbf{1}_X,\mathbf{1}_X}$ and $\gamma_{\mathbf{1}_Z,g,f,\mathbf{1}_X}$, where $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ is a sequence of morphisms in $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_i)$. If $i < j$ (resp. $i > j$), to any 2-cell $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow g$ in $\mathbf{H}_{i,j}\mathbb{C}$, where $f, g\colon X \to Y$, we associate the composition

$$f \xRightarrow{\iota^j_{f,\mathbf{1}_X}} f * \mathbf{1}^j_X \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,\mathbf{1}_X}} \mathbf{1}^j_Y * g \xRightarrow{\theta^j_{\mathbf{1}_Y,g}} g \quad (\text{resp. } f \xRightarrow{\iota^j_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f}} \mathbf{1}^j_Y * f \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,\mathbf{1}_X}} g * \mathbf{1}^j_X \xRightarrow{\theta^j_{g,\mathbf{1}_X}} g),$$

where $D \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)$ is the square induced by α of the form (2.9.1) (resp. (2.9.2)).

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. We define a 2-functor

$$F\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \tag{2.16.3}$$

as follows. To an object $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$, we associate X. To a path $f_m * \cdots * f_1$, where $f_i \in \coprod_{1\le k\le n} \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, we associate the composition $f_m \dots f_1$. We take $F(\sigma_{g,D,f})$ to be the 2-cell induced by D. To other atomic transformations between paths, we associate identity 2-cells. Moreover, for $1 \le i, j \le n$, $i \neq j$, (2.16.2) induces a pseudofunctor

$$G_i\colon \mathcal{D} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{i,j}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}. \tag{2.16.4}$$

Note that G_i does not depend on the choice of j.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. For $n \ge 2$, the 2-functor $F\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a 2-equivalence. More generally, if $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ are arrowy 2-subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{D}$ for some $1 \le i \le n$, then the 2-functor $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ induced by F is a 2-equivalence.*

Proof. We still use F and G_i to denote the induced functors between $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. We have $FG_i = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$. We define a pseudonatural equivalence $\epsilon\colon G_iF \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{D}}$ as follows. For any object X of $\mathcal{D}$, we take $\epsilon(X) = \mathbf{1}_X$. For any morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{D}$, we denote by $f^k \in (\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D})(\epsilon_k)$ the image of f. We take $\epsilon(f^i) = \mathbf{1}_{f^i}$, and $\epsilon(f^k)$, $i < k$ (resp. $i > k$) to be the composition

$$f^i \xRightarrow{\iota^k_{f^i,\mathbf{1}_Y}} f^i * \mathbf{1}^k_Y \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,\mathbf{1}_X}} f^k * \mathbf{1}^i_X \xRightarrow{\theta^i_{f^k,\mathbf{1}_X}} f^k \quad (\text{resp. } f^i \xRightarrow{\iota^k_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f^i}} \mathbf{1}^k_Y * f^i \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,E,\mathbf{1}_X}} \mathbf{1}^i_X * f^k \xRightarrow{\theta^i_{\mathbf{1}_X,f^k}} f^k),$$

where $D, E \in (\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D})(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_k)$ are the squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D"] & X \arrow[d, "f"] & & X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "E"] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y & & Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

induced by $\mathbf{1}_f$. It is straightforward to check that this definition is compatible with 2-cells of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{D}$. □

Remark 2.18. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a category, then F induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}\mathbf{T}\rho^*\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$, where ρ is the map $\{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ is defined in 1.8. This further induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\mathbb{C}, \rho^*\mathcal{D}), \tag{2.18.1}$$

functorial in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, which exhibits $(\mathcal{O}\mathbf{T}, \rho^*)$ as an adjoint pair.

The remainder of this section is devoted to an analogue of this for pseudofunctors. We start by defining an analogue of the right-hand side of (2.18.1).

Definition 2.19. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be an n-fold category, $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. We define the 2-category of *pseudofunctors* $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ as follows. Objects of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are pairs $(F, (F_i)_{1\le i\le n})$ consisting of a natural transformation $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}$ between functors $(\mathcal{I}_+^{op})^n \to \mathrm{Set}$ and pseudofunctors $F_i\colon \iota_i^*\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ extending $F_{\mathbf{0}}$ and F_{ϵ_i}. For all $1 \le k, k' \le n$ $(k \neq k')$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$, we denote by G_D the 2-cell in $F_{\epsilon_k+\epsilon_{k'}}(D)$. The pair $(F, (F_i))$ is subject to the following conditions:

(a) For $f\colon X \to Y$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, the following triangle (resp. with the horizontal arrow reversed)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_k(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\
F_k(f)F_{k'}(\mathbf{1}^{k'}_X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] & F_{k'}(\mathbf{1}^{k'}_Y)F_k(f)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes if $k < k'$ (resp. $k' < k$), where $D = s(f) \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$ is the identity square (2.10.2).

(b) For $D'' = D' \circ^k D$ in $\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$ of the form (2.10.3), the following pentagon (resp. with the horizontal arrows reversed)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_k(i')F_k(i)F_{k'}(p_1) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_k(i')F_{k'}(p_2)F_k(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] & F_{k'}(p_3)F_k(j')F_k(j) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_k(i'i)F_{k'}(p_1) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}"] & & F_{k'}(p_3)F_k(j'j)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes if $k < k'$ (resp. $k' < k$).

A morphism $\alpha\colon F \to F'$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a collection $(\alpha_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ of morphisms (i.e. pseudonatural transformations) $\alpha_i\colon F_i \to F'_i$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\iota_i^*\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ satisfying $|\alpha_1| = \cdots = |\alpha_n|$ (Notation 1.3 (2)) and such that for every square (2.10.1) in $\mathbb{C}$, the cube

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[rr, "F_k(j)"] \arrow[dr, "F_{k'}(q)"] \arrow[dd, "\alpha X"'] & & FY \arrow[dr, "F_{k'}(p)"] \arrow[dd, "\alpha Y"] & \\
& FZ \arrow[rr, "F_k(i)"] \arrow[dd, "\alpha Z"] & & FW \arrow[dd, "\alpha W"] \\
F'X \arrow[rr, "F'_k(j)"] \arrow[dr, "F'_{k'}(q)"'] & & F'Y \arrow[dr, "F'_{k'}(p)"'] & \\
& F'Z \arrow[rr, "F'_k(i)"'] & & F'W
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-commutative. Here the top, bottom, front, back, right, and left faces are respectively given by $F_{\epsilon_k+\epsilon_{k'}}(D)$, $F'_{\epsilon_k+\epsilon_{k'}}(D)$, $\alpha_k(i)$, $\alpha_k(j)$, $\alpha_{k'}(p)$, and $\alpha_{k'}(q)$.

A 2-cell $\Xi\colon \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ consists of a collection $(\Xi_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ of 2-cells (i.e. modifications) $\Xi_i\colon \alpha_i \Rightarrow \beta_i$ such that $|\Xi_1| = \cdots = |\Xi_n|$. In other words, a 2-cell $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a function from $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ to the set of 2-cells of $\mathcal{D}$ which is a modification α_i for all $1 \le i \le n$ at the same time.

Composition in $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is given by composition in $\mathcal{D}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the 2-fully faithful subcategory of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ spanned by pseudofunctors for which all the G_D are invertible 2-cells.

We view $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ as a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-category via the obvious forgetful 2-functor $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$.

Remark 2.20. For $n = 1$, $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

For $n = 2$, $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is related to double pseudofunctors $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{D}$. See 9.4 for a more precise statement.

Remark 2.21. We have an isomorphism of 2-categories $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{R}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

Construction 2.22. For 2-categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, we define a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor

$$Q\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \tag{2.22.1}$$

as follows. To a pseudofunctor $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, we associate $(\mathbb{Q}^nF, F, \dots, F)$, where $\mathbb{Q}^nF\colon \mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}$ is the natural transformation sending $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C})(\alpha) = 2\mathrm{Fun}(\gamma_\alpha, \mathcal{C})$ to the 2-functor associated to the pseudofunctor $F \circ \lambda$ in 2.14. Here we have identified $\iota_i^*\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{C}$. To a pseudonatural transformation α we associate $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$. To a modification Ξ we associate $(\Xi, \dots, \Xi)$. We consider the composite $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-functor

$$\Psi\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

where the second 2-functor is induced by (2.16.1).

For a double category $\mathbb{C}$, we define a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-functor

$$T\colon \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}) \tag{2.22.2}$$

as follows. To a pseudofunctor $(F, (F_k))$, we associate the pseudofunctor $TF\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}$ such that $(TF)(X) = FX$ for $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$, $(TF)(f) = Ff$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$, the coherence constraint of TF is trivial, $(TF)(\sigma_{g,D,f}) = \sigma_{Fg,FD,Ff}$, and TF sends $\iota^k_{g,f}$, $\theta^k_{g,f}$, $\gamma_{g,h',h,f}$, $\delta_{g,h',h,f}$ to the 2-cells induced by $\iota^k_{Fg,Ff}$, $\theta^k_{Fg,Ff}$, $\gamma_{Fg,Fh',Fh,Ff}$, $\delta_{Fg,Fh',Fh,Ff}$ and the coherence constraint of F_k. For any morphism $\alpha = (\alpha_k)\colon F \to F'$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$, $T\alpha\colon TF \to TF'$ is the pseudonatural transformation defined by $(T\alpha)(X) = \alpha X$ for $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$, $(T\alpha)(f) = (\alpha_k)(f)$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$. For any 2-cell $\Xi\colon \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ in $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$, $T\Xi\colon T\alpha \Rightarrow T\beta$ is the modification defined by $(T\Xi)(X) = \Xi X$ for $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$. We consider the composite $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-functor

$$\Phi\colon \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{T} \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C},\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}),$$

where the second 2-functor is induced by (2.16.3). We have $\Psi\Phi = \mathbf{1}$.

Proposition 2.23. *The $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-functors Φ and Ψ are $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-quasi-inverse to each other.*

Proof. There is a unique $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-natural equivalence $\epsilon\colon \Phi\Psi \to \mathbf{1}$ such that for any pseudofunctor $H\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, $\epsilon H\colon \Phi\Psi H \to H$ is the pseudonatural equivalence defined by $(\epsilon H)(X) = \mathbf{1}_{HX}$ for $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ and $(\epsilon H)(f) = \mathbf{1}_{Hf}$ for $f \in \coprod_{1\le k\le n}\mathbb{C}(\epsilon_k)$. ☐

Remark 2.24. By construction Ψ sends $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$ to $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$ and Φ sends $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$ to $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$. Thus Ψ and Φ induce $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-equivalences between $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$, $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-quasi-inverse to each other.

Corollary 2.25. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be 2-categories. Then* $Q\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ (2.22.1) *is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence.*

Proof. By construction, the composition

$$\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

is given by $F\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and consequently is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence by 2.17 and 1.6 (2). The corollary then follows from 2.23. ☐

3 Functoriality with respect to the index set

In this section, we record some functorial properties of the operations $\mathbf{T}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}$ defined in the last section, with respect to the index set $\{1,\dots,n\}$. Such properties will play an essential role in Section 5.

Construction 3.1. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be an n-fold category, $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be a strictly increasing map. We define a 2-functor

$$\mathbf{T}\phi^*\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{C} \tag{3.1.1}$$

as follows. To an object X, we associate X. To a morphism f^k, where $f \in (\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\epsilon_k) = \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_{\phi(k)})$, we associate $f^{\phi(k)}$. To an atomic transformation in $\mathbf{T}\phi^*\mathbb{C}$, we associate the corresponding atomic transformation in $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$.

For a sequence of strictly increasing maps

$$\{1,\dots,l\} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \{1,\dots,m\} \xrightarrow{\phi} \{1,\dots,n\}, \tag{3.1.2}$$

the composition

$$\mathbf{T}(\phi\phi')^*\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbf{T}\phi'^*\phi^*\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{T}\phi^*\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$$

equals the 2-functor induced by $\phi\phi'$.

Construction 3.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-category, $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be a strictly increasing map. We define an isomorphism of m-fold categories

$$\phi^*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi(m)}}\mathcal{C} \tag{3.2.1}$$

by the bijection

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi^*\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C})(\alpha) = n\mathrm{FoldFun}(\boxtimes_{j=1}^n \prod_{\phi(i)=j}\alpha_i, \mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C})(\phi_*\alpha) = 2\mathrm{Fun}(\gamma_{\phi_*\alpha},\mathcal{C})&\\ \to 2\mathrm{Fun}(\gamma_\alpha,\mathcal{C}) = (\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C})(\alpha),&\end{aligned}$$

induced by the isomorphism of 2-categories $\gamma_\alpha \to \gamma_{\phi_*\alpha}$ (3.1.1). Here $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^m$ and $\phi_*\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^n$ is defined by $(\phi_*\alpha)_{\phi(i)} = \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $(\phi_*\alpha)_j = 0$ for j not in the image of ϕ.

For a sequence of strictly increasing maps (3.1.2), the composition

$$(\phi\phi')^*\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C} \simeq \phi'^*\phi^*\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C} \to \phi'^*\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Q}^l\mathcal{C}$$

equals the isomorphism induced by $\phi\phi'$.

Construction 3.3. Let $\mathbb{C}$ be an n-fold category, $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category, $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be a strictly increasing map. We define a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-functor

$$\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\phi^*\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$$

as follows. To an object $(F,(F_i)_{1\leq i\leq n})$, we associate $(\epsilon \circ (\phi^*F),(F_{\phi(j)})_{1\leq j\leq m})$, where $\epsilon\colon \phi^*\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{D}$ is (3.2.1). To a morphism (α_i), we associate $(\alpha_{\phi(j)})$. To a 2-cell (Ξ_i), we associate $(\Xi_{\phi(j)})$.

For a sequence of strictly increasing maps (3.1.2), the composition

$$\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\phi^*\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\phi'^*\phi^*\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}((\phi\phi')^*\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})$$

equals the $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-functor induced by $\phi\phi'$.

Remark 3.4. For every 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ and every strictly increasing map$\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$, (3.1.1) and (3.2.1) provide a 2-functor

$$F_\phi\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}.$$

Construction 3.5. Let $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be an increasing map, not necessarily injective. The definition of the 2-functor (3.1.1) does not extend to this case, as there is no natural candidate for the image of $\sigma_{g,D,f}$, where $D \in (\phi^*\mathbb{C})(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j)$ with $\phi(i) = \phi(j)$. However, for $n \geq 2$, we can extend the definition of F_ϕ. To an object X, we associate X. To a morphism $f_a * \dots * f_1$, where $f_i \in \coprod_{1\leq k\leq m}(\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C})(\epsilon_k)$ for $1 \leq i \leq a$, we associate $f_a * \dots * f_1$. To the 2-cell $\sigma_{g,D,f}$, where $D \in \mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C}(\epsilon_k+\epsilon_{k'})$ with $\phi(k) = \phi(k') = l$, $k < k'$, we associate the composition

$$g*i*q*f \xRightarrow{\gamma_{g,i,q,f}} g*iq*f \xRightarrow{g*\alpha*f} g*pj*f \xRightarrow{\delta_{g,p,j,f}} g*p*j*f,$$

where $\alpha\colon iq \Rightarrow pj$ is the image of the 2-cell in D under the pseudofunctor $G_l\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}$ (2.16.4). To other atomic 2-cells of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C}$, we associate the corresponding atomic transformation in $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}$.

If $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m,\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_n$ are arrowy 2-subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\phi(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, then F_ϕ restricts to a 2-functor

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m}\mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_n}\mathcal{C}.$$

For a sequence of increasing maps $\{1,\dots,l\} \xrightarrow{\phi'} \{1,\dots,m\} \xrightarrow{\phi} \{1,\dots,n\}$ with $m,n \geq 2$, the composition

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^l\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F_{\phi'}} \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F_\phi} \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}$$

equals $F_{\phi\phi'}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be an increasing map with $n \geq 2$ and ϕ' be a section of ϕ. Let $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\phi'\phi(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then*

$$F_\phi\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m}\mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(n)}}\mathcal{C}, \quad F_{\phi'}\colon \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(n)}}\mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m}\mathcal{C}$$

are 2-quasi-inverse to each other.

Proof. We have $F_\phi F_{\phi'} = F_{\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}$. Furthermore, one can construct a pseudonatural equivalence $F_{\phi'}F_\phi \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ as in the proof of 2.17. □

Remark 3.7. Let $t\colon \{1,\dots,n\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be the map sending i to $n+1-i$. For an n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$ and 2-categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, we have isomorphisms $\mathbf{T}(t^*\mathbb{C}) \simeq (\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C})^{\text{2-op}}$,

$$t^*(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_n^{\text{2-op}},\dots,\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{2-op}}}\mathcal{C}^{\text{2-op}},$$
$$\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D}^{\text{2-op}}) \simeq \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(t^*\mathbb{C},\mathcal{D})^{\text{2-op}}.$$

Construction 3.8. Let $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be an injective map, not necessarily increasing. We have the following analogues of Constructions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3. For any n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$, we have a 2-functor

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\phi^*\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C} \tag{3.8.1}$$

sending $\sigma_{g,D,f}$, with $D \in \mathbb{C}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)$, $i < j$, $\phi(i) > \phi(j)$, to $\sigma^{-1}_{g,D,f}$. For any $(2,1)$-category $\mathcal{C}$ and arrowy 2-subcategories $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$, we have an isomorphism of m-fold categories

$$\phi^*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi(n)}}\mathcal{C} \tag{3.8.2}$$

sending every square in $(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C})(\epsilon_{\phi(i)} + \epsilon_{\phi(j)})$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, $\phi(i) > \phi(j)$ to its transpose obtained by inverting the 2-cell. For any n-fold category $\mathbb{C}$ and any 2-category $\mathcal{D}$, we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C})}$-categories

$$\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\phi^*\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \tag{3.8.3}$$

sending $(F, (F_i)_{1\le i\le n})$ to $(\epsilon \circ (\phi^* F), (F_{\tau(i)})_{1\le i\le n})$, where $\epsilon\colon \phi^*\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}$ is (3.8.2). Here we have used 2.21.

Combining (3.8.1) and (3.8.2), we get a 2-functor

$$E_\phi\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C}. \tag{3.8.4}$$

If ϕ is a bijection, then (3.8.1) and (3.8.3) are isomorphisms.

Construction 3.9. Let $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be an arbitrary map with $n \ge 2$, $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category. Similarly to 3.5, we have a 2-functor

$$E_\phi\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^n\mathcal{C},$$

sending $\sigma_{g,D,f}$ to $\sigma^{-1}_{g,D^*,f}$, where $D \in \mathbb{Q}^m\mathcal{C}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)$, $i < j$, $\phi(i) > \phi(j)$, and D^* is the transpose of D obtained by inverting the 2-cell.

We have the following analogue of 3.6.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,m\} \to \{1,\dots,n\}$ be a map with $n \ge 2$ and ϕ' be a section of ϕ. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category and $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\phi'\phi(i)}$ for all $1 \le i \le m$. Then*

$$E_\phi\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(n)}}\mathcal{C}, \quad E_{\phi'}\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(n)}}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m}\mathcal{C}$$

are 2-quasi-inverse to each other.

4 Gluing two pseudofunctors

In this section, we apply the construction in 2 to study the gluing of two pseudofunctors. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.9, which, combined with 4.12, yields the case $n = 2$ of the main theorem of this article.

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two arrowy (1.1) 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. The 2-functor $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}^2\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ (2.16.3) induces a 2-functor

$$E\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}. \tag{4.0.1}$$

For any 2-category $\mathcal{D}$, the composite $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})}$-functor

$$\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

where $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ is given by (2.22.1) and Φ is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence by 2.24, is induced by E. Thus 1.6 applied to E gives the following.

Proposition 4.1. *(1) If E is pseudofull, then $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ is 2-faithful for every 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.*

(2) E is a 2-equivalence if and only if $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence for every 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 4.2. We call $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ the 2-category of *gluing data* from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark 4.3. Let us explicitly describe the $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. An object of it is a triple $(F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, (G_D))$ consisting of an object $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D})$, an object $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$ satisfying $|F_{\mathcal{A}}| = |F_{\mathcal{B}}|$, and a family of invertible 2-cells of $\mathcal{D}$

$$G_D\colon F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \Rightarrow F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j),$$

D running over $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-squares in $\mathcal{C}$ of the form (2.15.1). The triple is subject to the following conditions:

(a) For any square D of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\
X \arrow[r, "i"] & Y,
\arrow[from=2-1, to=1-2, phantom, "{}^{\alpha}\Nearrow"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

the following square commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_Y)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(a′) For any square D of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "q"'] & X \arrow[d, "p"] \\
Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y,
\arrow[from=2-1, to=1-2, phantom, "{}^{\alpha}\Nearrow"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

the following square commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_Y)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b) If D, D', D'' are respectively the upper, lower and outer squares of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X_2 \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "p'"] \\
X_3 \arrow[r, "i_3"] & Y_3,
\arrow[from=2-1, to=1-2, phantom, "{}^{\alpha}\Nearrow"]
\arrow[from=3-1, to=2-2, phantom, "{}^{\alpha'}\Nearrow"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

then the following pentagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i_3)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q')F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p')F_{\mathcal{A}}(i_2)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p')F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(i_1) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i_3)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q'q) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}"] & & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p'p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(i_1).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b′) If D, D', D'' are respectively the left, right and outer squares of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "p_1"'] & X_2 \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d, "p_2"] & X_3 \arrow[d, "p_3"] \\
Y_1 \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\alpha \Nearrow"] & Y_2 \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\alpha' \Nearrow"] & Y_3,
\end{tikzcd}$$

then the following pentagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_1) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_2)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j')F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i'i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_1) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}"] & & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j'j).
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism $(F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, G) \to (F'_{\mathcal{A}}, F'_{\mathcal{B}}, G')$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a pair $(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}})$ consisting of a morphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}} \colon F_{\mathcal{A}} \to F'_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D})$ and a morphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{B}} \colon F_{\mathcal{B}} \to F'_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$ such that $|\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}| = |\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|$ and satisfying the following condition

(m) For any square $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square D (2.15.1), the following hexagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha_0(W)F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(i)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_D"'] & F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)\alpha_0(Z)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(q)"] & F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F'_{\mathcal{B}}(q)\alpha_0(X) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G'_D"] \\
\alpha_0(W)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(p)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)\alpha_0(Y)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(j)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F'_{\mathcal{A}}(j)\alpha_0(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\alpha_0 = |\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}| = |\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|$.

A 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a pair $(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{B}}) \colon (\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}}) \Rightarrow (\alpha'_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha'_{\mathcal{B}})$ consisting of a 2-cell $\Xi_{\mathcal{A}} \colon \alpha_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow \alpha'_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D})$ and a 2-cell $\Xi_{\mathcal{B}} \colon \alpha_{\mathcal{B}} \Rightarrow \alpha'_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$ such that $|\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}| = |\Xi_{\mathcal{B}}|$.

The $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category structure of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is given by the 2-functor defined by

$$(F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, G) \mapsto |F_{\mathcal{A}}| = |F_{\mathcal{B}}|,$$
$$(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}}) \mapsto |\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}| = |\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|, \quad (\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{B}}) \mapsto |\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}| = |\Xi_{\mathcal{B}}|.$$

Remark 4.4. Let us explicitly describe the $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}} \colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}).$$

For an object F of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, we have $Q_{\mathcal{D}}(F) = (F|\mathcal{A}, F|\mathcal{B}, G)$. Here, for an $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square D (2.15.1), G_D is the composition

$$F(i)F(q) \Longrightarrow F(iq) \xRightarrow{F(\alpha)} F(pj) \Longrightarrow F(p)F(j).$$

For a morphism $\alpha \colon F \to F'$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, $Q_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha)$ is

$$(\alpha \mid \mathcal{A}, \alpha \mid \mathcal{B}) \colon (F \mid \mathcal{A}, F \mid \mathcal{B}, G) \to (F' \mid \mathcal{A}, F' \mid \mathcal{B}, G').$$

For a 2-cell $\Xi \colon \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha'$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, $Q_{\mathcal{D}}(\Xi)$ is

$$(\Xi \mid \mathcal{A}, \Xi \mid \mathcal{B}) \colon (\alpha \mid \mathcal{A}, \alpha \mid \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow (\alpha' \mid \mathcal{A}, \alpha' \mid \mathcal{B}).$$

Remark 4.5. The isomorphisms (3.8.2) and (3.8.3) induce an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-categories

$$\Omega \colon \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}),$$

which can be described as follows. To an object $(F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, G)$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, Ω associates $(F_{\mathcal{B}}, F_{\mathcal{A}}, G^*)$. Here, for every $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square D (2.15.1), $G^*_{D^*} = G_D^{-1}$, where D^* is the square obtained from D by inverting α. To a morphism $(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}}) \colon (F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, G) \to (F'_{\mathcal{A}}, F'_{\mathcal{B}}, G')$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, Ω associates

$$(\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}}) \colon (F_{\mathcal{B}}, F_{\mathcal{A}}, G^*) \to (F'_{\mathcal{B}}, F'_{\mathcal{A}}, G'^*).$$

To a 2-cell $(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{B}}) \colon (\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}}) \Rightarrow (\alpha'_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha'_{\mathcal{B}})$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, Ω associates

$$(\Xi_{\mathcal{B}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{A}}) \colon (\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}}) \Rightarrow (\alpha'_{\mathcal{B}}, \alpha'_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Definition 4.6. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ *generate* $\mathcal{C}$ if every morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a composite $p_1 i_1 \cdots p_n i_n$ where $i_1, \dots, i_n$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark 4.7. We have $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ generate $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $E\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is pseudofull. In particular, this property implies the 2-faithfulness of $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ by 4.1 (1).

To state a criterion for 4.1 (2), we need to introduce some notations. Recall that, for $n \geq 0$, $[n]$ denotes the totally ordered set $\{0, \dots, n\}$. The 2-*category of n-simplices of* $\mathcal{C}$ is defined to be $\mathrm{UPsFun}([n], \mathcal{C})$. A sequence of morphisms $X_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n$ defines a 2-functor $[n] \to \mathcal{C}$, which we denote by $(f_n, \dots, f_1)$. Let Δ_n be the partially ordered set

$$\{(k, \ell) \in [n] \times [n] \mid k \geq \ell\}.$$

The diagonal embedding $[n] \to \Delta_n$ induces a 2-functor $\mathrm{UPsFun}(\Delta_n, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{UPsFun}([n], \mathcal{C})$.

Definition 4.8. Let σ be an n-simplex of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{P}$ be an arrowy 2-subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. The 2-*category of compactifications of* σ (relative to $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{P}$), $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$, is the 2-faithful 2-subcategory of the strict fiber product

$$\mathrm{UPsFun}(\Delta_n, \mathcal{C}) \times_{\mathrm{UPsFun}([n],\mathcal{C})} \{\sigma\}$$

whose objects are pseudofunctors F such that $F(k \to k', \ell)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$ and $F(k', \ell \to \ell')$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{B}$, for all elements (k, ℓ) and (k', ℓ') of Δ_n, and whose morphisms are pseudonatural transformations α such that $\alpha(k, \ell)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{P}$ for every element (k, ℓ) of Δ_n. We will sometimes write $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}$ for $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}$. We omit $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ from the notations when no confusion arises.

Let $d\colon [m] \to [n]$ be an increasing map, σ be an n-simplex. We have a 2-functor

$$\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{P}}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{P}}(\sigma \circ d) \tag{4.8.1}$$

sending $F\colon \Delta_n \to \mathcal{C}$ to the composition $dF\colon \Delta_m \xrightarrow{d \times d} \Delta_n \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{C}$. An object of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{P}}(f)$ is a quadruple (Z, i, p, α) consisting of an object Z of $\mathcal{C}$, a morphism $i\colon X \to Z$ of $\mathcal{A}$, a morphism $p\colon Z \to Y$ of $\mathcal{B}$, and a 2-cell $\alpha\colon pi \Rightarrow f$ of $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[dr, "f"'] & Z \arrow[d, "p"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\swArrow\alpha"] \\
 & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism $(Z, i, p, \alpha) \to (W, j, q, \beta)$ is a triple (r, γ, δ) consisting of a morphism $r\colon Z \to W$ of $\mathcal{P}$, and 2-cells $\gamma\colon ri \Rightarrow j$ and $\delta\colon qr \Rightarrow p$ of $\mathcal{C}$, fitting in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Z \arrow[d, "r"] \arrow[dd, bend left=50, "p"] \\
X \arrow[ur, "i"] \arrow[r, "j"'] \arrow[dr, "f"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, shift right=4, "\Downarrow\gamma"] \arrow[dr, phantom, shift left=4, "\swArrow\beta"] & W \arrow[d, "q"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Leftarrow\delta^{-1}"] & {} \\
 & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the outer triangle is α. A 2-cell $(r, \gamma, \delta) \Rightarrow (r', \gamma', \delta')$ is a 2-cell $\epsilon\colon r \Rightarrow r'$ of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\gamma = \gamma' \circ (\epsilon i)$ and $\delta = \delta' \circ (q\epsilon)$.

An object (Z, i, p, α) of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{P}}(f)$ gives a morphism $p * i\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$. A morphism (r, γ, δ) of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ as above gives a 2-cell

$$q * j \xRightarrow{\iota^{\mathrm{v}}_{q*j,\mathbf{1}_X}} q * j * \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{v}}_X \xRightarrow{\sigma_{q,D,\mathbf{1}_X}} q * r * i \xRightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,q,r,i}} qr * i \Rightarrow p * i$$

of $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$, where the last 2-cell is given by the image of δ under the pseudofunctor $\mathcal{B} \to \mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$ induced by G_{v} (2.16.4), and D is the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, equal] & Z \arrow[d, "r"] \\
X \arrow[r, "j"] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

given by γ^{-1}. This defines a 2-functor

$$\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \to (\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C})(X,Y)^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Composing with the functor inverting 2-cells in $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$, we get a 2-functor

$$G_f \colon \mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \to \mathcal{E}(X,Y). \tag{4.8.2}$$

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C}$. Assume the following conditions hold.*

(i) For any morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$, and any compactification $\kappa = (Z,i,p,\alpha)$ of f, the group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f),\kappa) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{E}(X,Y), p*i)$$

induced by G_f (4.8.2) has trivial image.

(ii) For any sequence of two morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(g,f)$ is connected.

(iii) For any sequence of three morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$, $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(h,g,f)$ is nonempty.

Then the 2-functor $E\colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{C}$ (4.0.1) is a 2-equivalence.

Note that (iii) implies that $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(g,f)$ and $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ are nonempty and (ii) implies that $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ is connected.

The proof of the theorem makes use of the following generalization of (4.8.2). There exists a unique way to associate, for every $n \geq 0$ and every n-simplex σ of $\mathcal{C}$, a 2-functor

$$G_\sigma \colon \mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma) \to \mathrm{UPsFun}([n],\mathcal{E}), \tag{4.9.1}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(0) For every 0-simplex (i.e. object) X of $\mathcal{C}$, the image of G_X is X.

(1) For every 1-simplex (i.e. morphism) f of $\mathcal{C}$, G_f is (4.8.2).

(2) For every 2-simplex σ of $\mathcal{C}$, G_σ sends a compactification as partly shown by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "i"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "k", "\alpha\Uparrow"'] & X' \arrow[r, "\ell"'] \arrow[d, "p"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D"] & X'' \arrow[d, "s"] \arrow[dd, bend left=50, "r", "\overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow}"'] \\
& Y \arrow[r, "j"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "q"'] \\
& & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

to the 2-simplex of $\mathcal{E}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "p*i"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "r*k", "\Uparrow"'] & Y \arrow[r, "q*j"'] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the 2-cell is the composition

$$q*j*p*i \xRightarrow{\sigma_{q,D,i}} q*s*\ell*i \xRightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{1}_Z,q,s,\mathbf{1}_{X''}} * \gamma_{\mathbf{1}_{X''},\ell,i,\mathbf{1}_X}} (qs)*(\ell i) \xRightarrow{\beta*\alpha} r*k.$$

(3) For any *strictly* increasing map $d\colon [m] \to [n]$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma) \arrow[r, "G_\sigma"] \arrow[d, "(4.8.1)"'] & \mathrm{UPsFun}([n],\mathcal{E}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{UPsFun}(d,\mathcal{E})"] \\
\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma \circ d) \arrow[r, "G_{\sigma\circ d}"] & \mathrm{UPsFun}([m],\mathcal{E})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

It follows from (0) and (3) that the image of G_σ lies in the 1-category $\mathrm{UPsFun}([n], \mathcal{E}) \times_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}([n])}} \{|\sigma|\}$.

Proof of 4.9. For any set S, we denote by $S^\sim$ the category such that $\mathrm{Ob}(S^\sim) = S$ and for all elements X and Y of S, there exists a unique morphism in $S^\sim$ from X to Y. By assumption, for any morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$, G_f (4.8.2) factorizes through a functor $G^\sim_f \colon \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f))^\sim \to \mathcal{E}(X, Y)$. For every f, choose an object κ_f of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ such that $\kappa_{\mathbf{1}_X} = (X, \mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_X})$ for every object X of $\mathcal{C}$. We denote by $d^n_i \colon [n-1] \to [n]$ and $s^n_i \colon [n+1] \to [n]$, $0 \le i \le n$, the face and degeneration maps, respectively.

We construct a pseudofunctor $F \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}$ as follows. For any object X of $\mathcal{C}$, we take $FX = X$. For any morphism f of $\mathcal{C}$, we take $Ff = G_f(\kappa_f)$. A 2-cell $\alpha \colon f \Rightarrow g$ of $\mathcal{C}$ induces an isomorphism of 2-categories $H_\alpha \colon \mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \to \mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)$ such that $G_g H_\alpha = G_f$. We take $F\alpha = G^\sim_g(H_\alpha(\kappa_f) \to \kappa_g)$.

We construct the coherence constraint of F as follows. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a sequence of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$. We consider the 2-functor $G_{g,f} = G_{(g,f)}$ (4.9.1). For any object λ of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(g, f)$, $G_{g,f}(\lambda)$ is a 2-simplex of $\mathcal{E}$ with edges $G_f(d^2_2\lambda)$, $G_{gf}(d^2_1\lambda)$, $G_g(d^2_0\lambda)$. Applying 1.4 to $G_{g,f}(\lambda)$, we get a unique pair $(F_\lambda, \phi_\lambda)$, where F_λ is a 2-simplex of $\mathcal{E}$ with edges $F(f)$, $F(gf)$, $F(g)$, and $\phi_\lambda \colon G_{f,g}(\lambda) \to F_\lambda$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{UPsFun}([2], \mathcal{E}) \times_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}([2])}} \{|(g, f)|\}$ satisfying

$$\phi_\lambda(0 \to 1) = G^\sim_f(d^2_2\lambda \to \kappa_f), \quad \phi_\lambda(0 \to 2) = G^\sim_{gf}(d^2_1\lambda \to \kappa_{gf}), \quad \phi_\lambda(1 \to 2) = G^\sim_g(d^2_0\lambda \to \kappa_g).$$

By the uniqueness of the pair, for any morphism $\psi \colon \lambda \to \lambda'$ of $\mathrm{Comp}_{\mathcal{B}}(g, f)$, we have $F_\lambda = F_{\lambda'}$ and $\phi_\lambda = \phi_{\lambda'} \circ G_{g,f}(\psi)$. It then follows from (ii) that F_λ does not depend on the choice of λ and we denote the corresponding 2-cell of $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$F_{g,f} \colon F(g)F(f) \Rightarrow F(gf).$$

A 2-cell $\alpha \colon f \Rightarrow f'$ of $\mathcal{C}$ induces an isomorphism of 2-categories $H_{g,\alpha} \colon \mathrm{Comp}_{\mathcal{B}}(g, f) \to \mathrm{Comp}_{\mathcal{B}}(g, f')$, compatible with H_α and $H_{g\alpha}$ and such that $G_{g,f'} H_{g,\alpha} = G_{g,f}$. Thus $F_{g,f}$ is functorial in f. Similarly $F_{g,f}$ is functorial in g. For any object X of $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by

$$u_X \colon \mathbf{1}_X \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{v}}_X * \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{h}}_X = F(\mathbf{1}_X)$$

the 2-cell in $\mathcal{E}$ induced by ι^{h} and ι^{v}.

Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$ be a sequence of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$. For any object λ of $\mathrm{Comp}_{\mathcal{B}}(f, g, h)$, $G_{h,g,f}(\lambda)$ is a 3-simplex of $\mathcal{E}$ with faces $G_{h,g}(d^3_0\lambda)$, $G_{h,gf}(d^3_1\lambda)$, $G_{hg,f}(d^3_2\lambda)$, $G_{g,f}(d^3_2\lambda)$. Applying 1.4 to $G_{h,g,f}(\lambda)$, we get a pair $(F_\lambda, \phi_\lambda)$, where F_λ is a 3-simplex of $\mathcal{E}$ of edges κ_f, κ_g, κ_h, κ_{gf}, κ_{hg}, κ_{hgf}, and $\phi_\lambda \colon G_{f,g}(\lambda) \to F_\lambda$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{UPsFun}([3], \mathcal{E}) \times_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Ob}([3])}} \{|(h, g, f)|\}$ such that

$$\phi_\lambda(e) = G^\sim_{\sigma(e)}(d_e\lambda \to \kappa_{\sigma(e)})$$

for all edges e of [3]. Here $d_e \colon [1] \to [3]$ denotes the map determined by e. By construction, $d^3_i F_\lambda = F_{d^3_i\lambda}$ for $0 \le i \le 3$. Thus F_λ implies that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(h)F(g)F(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{g,f}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{h,g}"'] & F(h)F(gf) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{h,gf}"] \\
F(hg)F(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{hg,f}"] & F(hgf)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, which proves the composition axiom.

Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{C}$. By construction, $F_{\mathbf{1}_X,f}$ is given by $G_{\mathbf{1}_X,f}(s^1_0\kappa_f)$ and $F_{f,\mathbf{1}_Y}$ is given by $G_{f,\mathbf{1}_Y}(s^1_1\kappa_f)$. Thus $F_{\mathbf{1}_X,f}$ and $F_{\mathbf{1}_Y,f}$ are induced by θ^{h} and θ^{v}. In other words, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "u_X"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "u_Y"'] \arrow[dr, equal] & F(f)F(\mathbf{1}_X) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathbf{1}_X,f}"] \\
F(\mathbf{1}_Y)F(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{f,\mathbf{1}_Y}"'] & F(f)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, which proves the unit axiom. This finishes the construction of F.

We define a pseudonatural equivalence $\epsilon \colon EF \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ sending X to $\mathbf{1}_X$ as follows. To every morphism f of $\mathcal{C}$, we associate the 2-cell $\alpha \colon pi \Rightarrow f$ in $\kappa_f = (Z, i, p, \alpha)$.

We define a pseudonatural equivalence $\eta\colon \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \to FE$ sending X to $\mathbf{1}_X$ as follows. To every morphism f of $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}$), we associate the composition

$$f \xRightarrow{\iota^{\mathrm{v}}_{f,\mathbf{1}_X}} f * \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{v}}_X \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,\mathbf{1}_X}} p * i \quad (\text{resp. } f \xRightarrow{\iota^{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathbf{1}_X,f}} \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{h}}_Y * f \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D',\mathbf{1}_X}} p * i), \tag{4.9.2}$$

where D (resp. D') is the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D"] & Z \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad (\text{resp.} \qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D'"] & Z) \arrow[d, "p"] \\
Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

induced by κ_f. ☐

Remark 4.10. Similarly to (4.8.2), we have a 2-functor

$$\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{A}}(f) \to (\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C})(X,Y) \to \mathcal{E}(X,Y). \tag{4.10.1}$$

It sends every morphism $(r,\gamma,\delta)\colon (Z,i,p,\alpha) \to (W,j,q,\beta)$ of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ to the composition

$$p * i \xRightarrow{\iota^{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathbf{1}_Y,p*i}} \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{h}}_Y * p * i \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,D,i}} q * r * i \xRightarrow{\gamma_{q,r,i,\mathbf{1}_X}} q * ri \overset{\gamma}{\Rightarrow} q * j,$$

where D is the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r, "r"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & W \arrow[d, "q"] \\
Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

given by δ^{-1}. The analogue of 4.9 holds with $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}$ replaced by $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Moreover, (4.8.2) and (4.10.1) induces a double pseudofunctor $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{omp}(f) \to \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}(X,Y))$, where

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{omp}(f) = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{A}}(f),\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)}\mathrm{Comp}(f).$$

Applying (2.22.2), we obtain a 2-functor

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}\mathrm{omp}(f) \to \mathcal{E}(X,Y) \tag{4.10.2}$$

extending (4.8.2) and (4.10.1).

Definition 4.11. (1) We say $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is *squarable in* $\mathcal{C}$ if every pair of morphisms $i\colon Z \to W$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $p\colon Y \to W$ in $\mathcal{B}$ with the same target can be completed into an $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square (2.15.1), 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{C}$.

(2) We say that a 2-category $\mathcal{D}$ is *directed* if it is nonempty and if for every pair of objects X and Y of $\mathcal{D}$, there exists an object Z of $\mathcal{D}$ and morphisms $X \to Z$ and $Y \to Z$ of $\mathcal{D}$. A directed 2-category is connected.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$. Assume the following.*

(i) Every morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to pi for some morphism i of $\mathcal{A}$ and some morphism p of $\mathcal{B}$.

(ii) $(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B})$ is squarable in $\mathcal{C}$.

Then, for any n-simplex σ of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is directed. Moreover, condition (i) of 4.9 is satisfied. In particular, $E\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a 2-equivalence and

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}}\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence for every 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Let us first show that $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$ is nonempty. Consider the subset

$$\Delta_{n,j} = \{(i,j) \in [n] \times [n] \mid 0 \leq i - j \leq k\} \subseteq \Delta_n$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n$. We show by induction on j that there exists a strictly unital pseudofunctor $\Delta_{n,j} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that F composed with the diagonal embedding $[n] \to \Delta_{n,j}$ equals σ and that $F(k \to k', \ell)$ is in $\mathcal{A}$, $F(k', \ell \to \ell')$ is in $\mathcal{B}$. The case $j = 0$ is trivial as the diagonal embedding $[n] \to \Delta_{n,0}$ is an isomorphism. For $j \geq 1$, applying (i) to the induction hypothesis, we get a decomposition $F((k+j-1,k) \to (k+j, k+1)) \simeq pi$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-j$. We take $F(k+j-1 \to k+j, k) = i$ and $F(k+j, k \to k+1) = p$. In general, for $P < Q$ in $\Delta_{n,j}$, we take

$$F(P \to Q) = \begin{cases} F(Q' \to Q)F(P \to P') & \text{if } P' = Q', \\ F(Q' \to Q)F(P' \to Q')F(P \to P') & \text{if } P' \neq Q', \end{cases}$$

where

$$P' = \begin{cases} P & \text{if } P \in \Delta_{n,j-1}, \\ P + (0,1) & \text{if } P \notin \Delta_{n,j-1}, \end{cases} \qquad Q' = \begin{cases} Q & \text{if } Q \in \Delta_{n,j-1}, \\ Q - (1,0) & \text{if } Q \notin \Delta_{n,j-1}. \end{cases}$$

The coherence constraint of F is given by the obvious 2-cells. For $j = n$, this shows that $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$ is nonempty.

Next we show the following:

(∗) Every morphism of n-simplices $\sigma \to \tau$, where τ is an n-simplex of $\mathcal{B}$, is isomorphic to the composition $\sigma \xrightarrow{i} \tau' \xrightarrow{p} \tau$, where p is a morphism of n-simplices of $\mathcal{B}$ and $i(j)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$.

We proceed by induction on n. The case $n = 0$ is (i). For $n \geq 0$, induction hypothesis provides $p|\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $i \mid \{1, \dots, n\}$. Applying (ii), we obtain a 2-commutative diagram in $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\sigma(0) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tau(0) \arrow[d] \\
\sigma(1) \arrow[r] & \tau'(1) \arrow[r] & \tau(1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the square on the right is in $\mathcal{B}$. Applying (i) to f, we get $f \simeq qh$. Replacing f by h, we may assume f is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$. Then it suffices to take $\tau'(0) = X$.

Now let F and F' be two objects of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$. Applying (ii), we obtain morphisms $F'' \to F$ and $F'' \to F'$ of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$, where $F''(i,j)$ is a 2-fiber product $F(i,j) \times_{\sigma(i)} F'(i,j)$ in $\mathcal{C}$. To show that $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$ is directed, it then suffices to show that, for every object F of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$, there exists a morphism $F' \to F$ of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$ such that F' is an object of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$. We will construct a sequence

$$F' = F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 = F$$

of morphisms of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)$ such that $F_j \mid \Delta_j$ is an object of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma \mid [j])$, $0 \leq j \leq n$. For $j \geq 1$, assume F_{j-1} constructed. Applying (∗), we get a decomposition $F_{j-1} \mid \{j-1\} \times [n-j+1] \to \tau \to F_{j-1}|\{j\} \times [n-j+1]$. We take $F_j|\Delta_{j-1} = F_{j-1}|\Delta_{j=1}$, $F_j|\{j\} \times [n-j+1] = \tau$, $F_j(j, n-j) = F_{j-1}(j, n-j)$, $F_j \mid (\Delta_n - \Delta_j) = F_{j-1} \mid (\Delta_n - \Delta_j)$. This finishes the proof of the fact that $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\sigma)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is directed. In particular, we have shown conditions (ii) and (iii) of 4.9.

The proof of condition (i) of 4.9 is reminiscent of the proof of [1, Lemme 1.3.8]. Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{C}$. Endow $S = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f))$ with the following preorder: $\kappa \leq \lambda$ if and only if there exists a morphism $\lambda \to \kappa$. Since S is directed, it is simply connected. Thus it suffices to show that for every pair of morphisms $(r_1, r_2) \colon \lambda = (W, j, \dots) \rightrightarrows \kappa = (Z, i, \dots)$ of $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$, $G_f(r_1) = G_f(r_2)$. Apply (ii) to obtain a diagram in $\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \times_Y W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z \times_Y W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W \arrow[d, "r_2"] \\
W \times_Y Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z \times_Y Z \arrow[r, "p_2"] \arrow[d, "p_1"] & Z \arrow[d] \\
W \arrow[r, "r_1"] & Z \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all the squares are 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{C}$. Choose projections $q_\alpha\colon W\times_Y W\to W$, $\alpha=1,2$ and a morphism $r_1\times_Y r_2\colon W\times_Y W\to Z\times_Y Z$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Apply $(*)$ to obtain the following decomposition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & W' \arrow[r, "t"] \arrow[d, "u"'] & W\times_Y W \arrow[d, "r_1\times_Y r_2"] \\
X \arrow[r] & Z' \arrow[r, "s"] & Z\times_Y Z.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This induces 2-commutative squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W' \arrow[r, "q_\alpha t"] \arrow[d, "u"'] & W \arrow[d, "r_\alpha"] \\
Z' \arrow[r, "p_\alpha s"] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$. Since $\mathcal{E}(X,Y)$ is a groupoid, it suffices to show $G_f(p_1 s)=G_f(p_2 s)$ (and $G_f(q_1 t)=G_f(q_2 t)$). Apply $(*)$ to obtain the following decomposition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"'] & Z''' \arrow[r, "v"] \arrow[d, "v'"'] & Z' \arrow[d, "s"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "k"] & Z'' \arrow[r, "s'"] & Z\times_Y Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the composition of the second line is isomorphic to the diagonal. This induces 2-commutative squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z''' \arrow[r, "v"] \arrow[d, "v'"'] & Z' \arrow[d, "p_\alpha s"] \\
Z'' \arrow[r, "p_\alpha s'"] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathrm{Comp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$. Thus it suffices to show $G_f(p_1 s')=G_f(p_2 s')$. In $\mathbf{T}\mathbb{C}\mathrm{omp}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$, $p_\alpha s' * k\simeq \mathbf{1}_Z$. Thus the image of $p_\alpha s' * k$ under (4.10.2) is the identity. It follows that $G_f(p_1 s')=G_f(p_2 s')$.

The last assertion of 4.12 follows from 4.9 and 4.1 (2). ☐

Remark 4.13. Let us recall the results of Deligne [4, 3.3.2] and Ayoub [1, Th. 1.3.1]. Both assume $\mathcal{C}$ is an (ordinary) category. Consider the conditions

(iii) Fiber products exist in $\mathcal{B}$ and are fiber products in $\mathcal{C}$.

(iv) $\mathcal{C}$ admits fiber products and morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are stable under base change by morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, all isomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ are in $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, the diagonal of every morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ is in $\mathcal{A}$.

Deligne assumes 4.12 (i) and (iii), while Ayoub assumes 4.12 (i) and (iv). In our language, their conclusions can be stated as saying $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ induces a bijection between equivalence classes of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ and $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. There are no implications between (iii) and (iv). Moreover, both are stronger than 4.12 (ii). Thus 4.12 is a common generalization of the results of Deligne and Ayoub. Even under the assumptions of Deligne and Ayoub, the conclusions of 4.12 is more precise in the sense that it establishes a 2-equivalence of 2-categories. As we have remarked in the Introduction, this precision is useful in the construction of pseudonatural transformations.

5 Gluing finitely many pseudofunctors

In this section, we study the gluing of finitely many pseudofunctors in general. The main result of this section is Theorem 5.8. Its consequence 5.10 is the case $n\geq 3$ of the main theorem of this article.

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a (2,1)-category, $n\geq 1$, $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ (1.1). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. By 2.24, we have a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence

$$\Phi\colon \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})\to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}).$$

We generalize Definition 4.2 as follows.

Definition 5.1. For $n \geq 2$, we call $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ the 2-category of *gluing data* from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$, relative to $\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n$. For $n=1$, we put $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{D})$.

Remark 5.2. Let us explicitly describe the $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. An object of it is a pair

$$((F_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}, (G_{ij})_{1\leq i<j\leq n}),$$

where $F_i\colon \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{D}$ is an object of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$, and (F_i, F_j, G_{ij}) is an object of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, satisfying the following condition:

(D) For $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ and any 2-commutative cube of the form

(5.2.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[rr, "b'"] \arrow[dr, "q'"] \arrow[dd, "x"'] & & Y' \arrow[dr, "p'"] \arrow[dd, "y" near start] & \\
& Z' \arrow[rr, "a'" near start] \arrow[dd, "z" near start] & & W' \arrow[dd, "w"] \\
X \arrow[rr, "b" near start] \arrow[dr, "q"'] & & Y \arrow[dr, "p"] & \\
& Z \arrow[rr, "a"] & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where a, b, a', b' are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_i$, p, q, p', q' are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_j$ and x, y, z, w are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_k$, the following hexagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_i(a)F_j(q)F_k(x) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{jkI'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijK}"'] & F_i(a)F_k(z)F_j(q') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{ikJ}"] & F_k(w)F_i(a')F_j(q') \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijK'}"] \\
F_j(p)F_i(b)F_k(x) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{ijJ'}"] & F_j(p)F_k(y)F_i(b') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{jkI}"] & F_k(w)F_j(p')F_i(b')
\end{tikzcd}$$

where I, I', J, J', K, K' are respectively the right, left, front, back, bottom, top faces of the cube.

A morphism $((F_i),(G_{ij})) \to ((F_i'),(G_{ij}'))$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a collection $(\alpha_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}$ of morphisms $\alpha_i\colon F_i \to F_i'$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$, such that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,

$$(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\colon (F_i,F_j,G_{ij}) \to (F_i',F_j',G_{ij}')$$

is a morphism of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$.

A 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a collection $(\Xi_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}\colon (\alpha_i)_{1\leq i\leq n} \Rightarrow (\alpha_i')_{1\leq i\leq n}$ of 2-cells $\Xi_i\colon \alpha_i \Rightarrow \alpha_i'$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$ such that $|\Xi_i| = \cdots = |\Xi_n|$.

The $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category structure of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is given by the 2-functor defined by

$$((F_i),G) \mapsto |F_1| = \cdots = |F_n|,$$
$$(\alpha_i) \mapsto |\alpha_1| = \cdots = |\alpha_n|, \quad (\Xi_i) \mapsto |\Xi_1| = \cdots = |\Xi_n|.$$

Remark 5.3. Let us give an alternative description of the objects and morphisms of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. An object of it is a pair $((F_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}, (G_{ijD})_{1\leq i,j\leq n})$ (here we do *not* assume $i<j$), where $F_i\colon \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{D}$ is an object of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$ such that $|F_1| = \cdots = |F_n|$, and

$$G_{ijD}\colon F_i(a)F_j(q) \Rightarrow F_j(p)F_i(b)$$

is an invertible 2-cell of $\mathcal{D}$, D running over $(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j)$-squares in $\mathcal{C}$ (2.15) of the form

(5.3.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "b"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "a"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\alpha}{\nearrow}"] & W,
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying condition (D) above for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ and the following conditions:

(A) For $1 \le i, j \le n$ and any square D of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "b"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\alpha}{\Nearrow}"] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\
X \arrow[r, "a"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where a and b are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_i$, the following square commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_i(a) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_i(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_i(b) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_i(a)F_j(\mathbf{1}_X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{ijD}"] & F_j(\mathbf{1}_Y)F_i(b).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(O) For any square D (5.3.1) with $i = j$, the following square commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_i(a)F_i(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{iiD}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_i(p)F_i(b) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_i(aq) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_i(\alpha)"] & F_i(pb).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In fact, given $(G_{ij})_{1 \le i < j \le n}$, it suffices to take (O) as a definition of G_{ii}, and to put $G_{ji} = G_{ij}^*$ (4.2) for $1 \le i < j \le n$.

A morphism $((F_i), (G_{ij})) \to ((F'_i), (G'_{ij}))$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a collection $(\alpha_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ of morphisms $\alpha_i \colon F_i \to F'_i$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{D})$ such that for every $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j)$-square D (5.3.1), the following hexagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha_0(W)F_i(a)F_j(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_i(a)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijD}"] & F'_i(a)\alpha_0(Z)F_j(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_j(q)"] & F'_i(a)F'_j(q)\alpha_0(X) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G'_{ijD}"] \\
\alpha_0(W)F_j(p)F_i(b) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_j(p)"] & F'_j(p)\alpha_0(Y)F_i(b) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_i(b)"] & F'_j(p)F'_i(b)\alpha_0(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\alpha_0 = |\alpha_1| = \cdots = |\alpha_n|$.

Construction 5.4. Let $\phi\colon \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, m\}$ be a map, $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_m$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\phi(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le n$. The above description allows us to define a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor

$$Q^\phi = Q^\phi_{\mathcal{D}} \colon \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{B}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_m}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

by

$$((F_i), (G_{ij})) \mapsto ((F_{\phi(i)}), (G_{\phi(i)\phi(j)})), \quad (\alpha_i) \mapsto (\alpha_{\phi(i)}), \quad (\Xi_i) \mapsto (\Xi_{\phi(i)}).$$

If $\phi'\colon \{1, \ldots, m\} \to \{1, \ldots, l\}$ is a map, and $\mathcal{B}'_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}'_l$ are arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_j \subseteq \mathcal{B}'_{\phi(j)}$ for $1 \le j \le m$. Then we have $Q^{\phi'\phi} = Q^\phi Q^{\phi'}$.

If ϕ is bijective and $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B}_{\phi(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le n$, then Q_ϕ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-categories.

If $n \ge 2$, $m = 1$, then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \arrow[d, "Q^\phi"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(E_\phi{,}\mathcal{D})"] & \\
\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Here

$$E_\phi \colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$$

is the 2-functor induced by (2.16.3).

If $n, m \geq 2$, then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_m}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "Q^{\phi}"'] & \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_m}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(E_{\phi},\mathcal{D})"] \\
\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.4.1}$$

commutes. Here

$$E_{\phi}\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_m}\mathcal{C}$$

is the 2-functor induced by (3.8.4). If moreover ϕ is injective, then Q^{ϕ} coincides with the $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor given by (3.8.2) and (3.8.3).

Applying 1.6 to E_{ϕ}, we get the following generalization of 4.1.

Proposition 5.5. *Assume $n \geq 2$.*

(1) If E_{ϕ} is pseudofull, then $Q^{\phi}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is 2-faithful for every 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.

(2) E_{ϕ} is a 2-equivalence if and only if $Q^{\phi}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence for every 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\phi\colon \{1,\dots,n\} \to \{1,\dots,m\}$ be a map with a section ϕ'. Assume $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\phi'\phi(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

$$Q^{\phi}\colon \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(1)},\dots,\mathcal{A}_{\phi'(m)}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

and $Q^{\phi'}$ are $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})}$-quasi-inverses to each other.

Proof. We have $Q^{\phi'}Q^{\phi} = \mathbf{1}$. For $m = 1$ we conclude by 5.5 and 2.17. For $m > 1$, we conclude by 5.5 and 3.10.

We can also construct a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-natural equivalence $\epsilon\colon Q^{\phi}Q^{\phi'} \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ more directly as follows. For any object $((F_i),(G_{ij}))$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, we associate the morphism $Q^{\phi}Q^{\phi'}((F_i),(G_{ij})) \to ((F_i),(G_{ij}))$ given by $\rho_{i,\phi'\phi(i)}\colon F_{\phi'\phi(i)} \mid \mathcal{A}_i \to F_i$. See 7.1 for the definition of $\rho_{i,\phi'\phi(i)}$. ☐

In the remainder of this section, we will concentrate on the case $n \geq 3$, $m = n-1$, $\phi(i) = \max\{0, i-1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{A}_{i+1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$. We put $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$ and consider

$$E = E_{\phi}\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},$$
$$Q_{\mathcal{D}} = Q^{\phi}_{\mathcal{D}}\colon \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}).$$

Remark 5.7. If $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ generate $\mathcal{B}$ (4.6), then E is pseudofull, so that $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$ is 2-faithful by 5.5 (1).

To state an analogue of 4.9, we need to introduce some notations. Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}', \mathcal{T}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{S};\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathrm{UPsFun}([1],\mathcal{C})$ the 2-faithful 2-subcategory spanned by strictly unital pseudofunctors that factor through $\mathcal{S}$ and pseudonatural transformations α such that α_0 and α_1 are both morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{S};\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathrm{UPsFun}([2],\mathcal{C})$ the 2-faithful 2-subcategory spanned by strictly unital pseudofunctors that factor through $\mathcal{S}$ and pseudonatural transformations α such that $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}';\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathrm{UPsFun}([1]\times[1],\mathcal{C})$ the 2-faithful 2-subcategory spanned by strictly unital pseudofunctors F such that $F(0 \to 1, 0)$ and $F(0 \to 1, 1)$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ and $F(0, 0 \to 1)$ and $F(1, 0 \to 1)$ are morphisms of $\mathcal{S}'$ and by pseudonatural transformations α such that $\alpha(i,j)$, $1 \leq i,j \leq 2$ are all morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$. For every morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{B}$, we consider the composite 2-functor

$$G_f\colon \mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(f) \to (\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}\mathcal{B})(X,Y) \to (\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C})(X,Y), \tag{5.7.1}$$

where the first 2-functor is given by (4.8.2).

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $n \geq 3$, $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n,\mathcal{B}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Assume the following*

(1) For any morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{B}$, any compactification $\kappa = (Z,i,p,\alpha)$ of f, the group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(f),\kappa) \to \pi_1((\mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C})(X,Y), p * i)$$

induced by G_f (5.7.1) has trivial image.

(2) For any sequence of morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ *of* $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(g,f)$ *is connected.*

(3) For any sequence of morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$ *of* $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(h,g,f)$ *is nonempty.*

(4) For any morphism $x \xrightarrow{f} y$ *in* $\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{B})$, $3 \le i \le n$, $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_1),\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_2)}(f)$ *is connected.*

(5) For any sequence of morphisms $x \xrightarrow{f} y \xrightarrow{g} z$ *in* $\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{B})$, $3 \le i \le n$, $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_1),\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_2)}(g,f)$ *is nonempty.*

(6) For any morphism $x \xrightarrow{f} y$ *in* $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{B})$, $3 \le i \le n$, $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_1),\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_2)}(f)$ *is nonempty.*

(7) For morphism $x \xrightarrow{f} y$ *in* $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j;\mathcal{B})$, $3 \le i < j \le n$,

$$\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathrm{Sq}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j;\mathcal{A}_1),\mathrm{Sq}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j;\mathcal{A}_2)}(f)$$

is nonempty.

Then E *is a* 2*-equivalence.*

Note that for $n = 3$ condition (7) is a tautology.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$. As in the proof of 4.9, for every f in $\mathcal{B}$, G_f factors through a functor $G^{\sim}_f\colon \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(f))^{\sim} \to \mathcal{E}(X,Y)$ and we choose an object κ_f of $\mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(f)$. A 2-cell $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow g$ of $\mathcal{B}$ induces an isomorphism $H_\alpha\colon \mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(f) \to \mathrm{Comp}^2_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(g)$ such that $G_g H_\alpha = G_f$.

We construct a 2-functor $F\colon \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E}$ as follows. For an object X of $\mathcal{E}'$, we take $FX = X$. For a morphism in $\mathcal{E}'$ of length 1, we take $F(f^k) = f^{k+1}$ for $2 \le k \le n-1$, f in $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ and $F(f^1) = G_f(\kappa_f)$ for f in $\mathcal{B}$. We take $F(\iota^k_{g,f}) = \iota^{k+1}_{F(g),F(f)}$ for $2 \le k \le n-1$ and $F(\iota^1_{g,f})$ to be the 2-cell

$$F(g * f) = F(g) * F(f) \Rightarrow F(g) * F(\mathbf{1}^2_Y) * F(\mathbf{1}^1_Y) * F(f) = F(g * \mathbf{1}_Y * f)$$

induced by ι^1 and ι^2 in $\mathcal{E}$. As in the proof of 4.9, for morphisms h', h in $\mathcal{B}$, we have a canonical 2-cell $F_{h',h}\colon F(h')F(h) \Rightarrow F(h'h)$, where $h'h$ is the composition in $\mathcal{B}$ and we take $F(\gamma_{g,h'^1,h^1,f})$ to be the 2-cell induced by $F_{h',h}$. Moreover, we take $F(\gamma_{g,h'^k,h^k,f}) = \gamma_{F(g),h'^{k+1},h^{k+1},F(f)}$ for $2 \le k \le n-1$ and h', h in $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$. Finally, let $D \in (\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C})(\epsilon_k + \epsilon_{k'})$, $k < k'$. For $k \ge 2$, we take $F(\sigma_{g,D,f}) = \sigma_{F(g),D,F(f)}$. For $k = 1$, we choose a compactification τ of D of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & U \arrow[rrd, "b_2"] \arrow[ddd, "r"] & & \\
X \arrow[rru, "b_1"] \arrow[rrrr, "b"'] \arrow[dddd, "q"'] & & & & Y \arrow[dddd, "p"] \\
 & D_1 & & D_2 & \\
 & & V \arrow[rrdd, "a_2"] & & \\
 & & & & \\
Z \arrow[rruu, "a_1"] \arrow[rrrr, "a"'] & & & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

with a_1, b_1 in $\mathcal{A}_1$, a_2, b_2 in $\mathcal{A}_2$, and vertical arrows in $\mathcal{A}_{k'}$, whose existence is guaranteed by condition (5) or (6). We take $F(\sigma_{g,D,f})$ to be the composition

$$\alpha_\tau\colon G_a(\kappa_a) * q \xRightarrow{G^{\sim}_a(\kappa_a \to \kappa'_a)} a_2 * a_1 * q \xRightarrow{\sigma_{a_2,D_1,\mathbf{1}_X}} a_2 * r * b_1 \xRightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,D_2,b_1}} p * b_2 * b_1 \xRightarrow{G^{\sim}_b(\kappa'_b \to \kappa_b)} p * G_b(\kappa_b),$$

where κ'_a and κ'_b are induced by τ. It is straightforward to check that for a morphism $\tau \to \tau'$, $\alpha_\tau = \alpha_{\tau'}$. Thus, by (4), α_τ does not depend on the choice of τ.

We have thus defined a 2-functor $F\colon \tilde{\mathcal{E}}' \to \mathcal{E}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ is the 2-category obtained from $\mathcal{E}'$ by replacing the 2-cells by transformations, such that the equivalence system $\alpha \sim_F \beta$ if and only if $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$ satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3) and (5) of 2.10. As in the proof of 4.9, one checks that conditions (4) and (6) of 2.10 are also satisfied. Conditions (7), the case $k, k' \ge 2$ of (8), and the case $k \ge 2$ of (9) of 2.10 are trivial.

To check Condition (8) of 2.10, case $k' = 1$, we apply (6) to obtain a compactification of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \bar{U} \arrow[drr, "a_2"] \arrow[ddd, "\bar{p}"] & & \\
X \arrow[urr, "a_1"] \arrow[rrrr, "a"'] \arrow[ddd, "q"'] & & & & U \arrow[ddd, "p"] \\
 & D_1 & & D_2 & \\
 & & \bar{V} \arrow[drr, "b_2"] \arrow[ddd, "\bar{r}"] & & \\
Y \arrow[urr, "b_1"] \arrow[rrrr, "b"'] \arrow[ddd, "s"'] & & & & V \arrow[ddd, "r"] \\
 & D'_1 & & D'_2 & \\
 & & \bar{W} \arrow[drr, "c_2"] & & \\
Z \arrow[urr, "c_1"] \arrow[rrrr, "c"] & & & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

with a_1, b_1, c_1 in $\mathcal{A}_1$, a_2, b_2, c_2 in $\mathcal{A}_2$ and vertical arrows in $\mathcal{A}_k$. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & F(c) * s * q \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] \arrow[rrrr, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W, D', q})"] & & & & r * F(b) * q \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dddd, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{r, D, \mathbf{1}_X})"] \\
F(c) * sq \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] \arrow[ddddrrrr, Rrightarrow, bend right, "F(\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W, D'', \mathbf{1}_X})"'] & & c_2 * c_1 * s * q \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{c_2, D'_1, q}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] & c_2 * \bar{r} * b_1 * q \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W, D'_2, b_1 * q}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{c_2 * \bar{r}, D_1, \mathbf{1}_X}"'] & r * b_2 * b_1 * q \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{r * b_2, D_1, \mathbf{1}_X}"] & \\
 & c_2 * c_1 * sq \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{c_2, D''_1, \mathbf{1}_X}"] & & c * \bar{r} * \bar{p} * a_1 \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W, D'_2, \bar{p} * a_1}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] & r * b_2 * \bar{p} * a_1 \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{r, D_2, a_1}"] & \\
 & & c * \bar{r}\bar{p} * a_1 \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W, D''_2, a_1}"] & & r * p * a_2 * a_1 \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] & \\
 & & & rp * a_2 * a_1 & & r * p * F(a) \arrow[ul, Rightarrow] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
 & & & & rp * F(a) \arrow[ul, Rightarrow] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Here $D''_j = D'_j \circ^k D_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

To check Condition (8) of 2.10, case $k = 1$, we apply (5) to obtain a compactification of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "b_1"] \arrow[drr, "b"'] \arrow[dd, "p"'] & Y' \arrow[rr, "f_1"] \arrow[dr, "b_2"] \arrow[dd, "q'"] & & Z'' \arrow[dr, "f_2"] \arrow[dd, "r''"] & & \\
 & & Y \arrow[rr, "d_1"] \arrow[drrr, "d"] \arrow[dd, "q"] & & Z' \arrow[dr, "d_2"] \arrow[dd, "r'"] & \\
U \arrow[r, "a_1"] \arrow[drr, "a"'] & V' \arrow[rr, "e_1"] \arrow[dr, "a_2"] & & W'' \arrow[dr, "e_2"] & & Z \arrow[dd, "r"] \\
 & & V \arrow[rr, "c_1"] \arrow[drrr, "c"'] & & W' \arrow[dr, "c_2"] & \\
 & & & & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, e_j, f_j$ are in $\mathcal{A}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, and vertical arrows are in $\mathcal{A}_{k'}$. It is then straightforward to check Condition (8) of 2.10 in this case.

To check Condition (9) of 2.10, case $k = 1$, we take, by (7), a compactification of (5.2.1) of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[rr, "b_1'"] \arrow[dr, "q'"] \arrow[dd, "x"'] & & \bar{Y}' \arrow[rr, "b_2'"] \arrow[dr, "\bar{p}'"] \arrow[dd, "\bar{y}"] & & Y' \arrow[dr, "p'"] \arrow[dd, "y"] & \\
& Z' \arrow[rr, "a_1'"] \arrow[dd, "z"] & & \bar{W}' \arrow[rr, "a_2'"] \arrow[dd, "\bar{w}"] & & W' \arrow[dd, "w"] \\
X \arrow[rr, "b_1"] \arrow[dr, "q"'] & & \bar{Y} \arrow[rr, "b_2"] \arrow[dr, "\bar{p}"] & & Y \arrow[dr, "p"] & \\
& Z \arrow[rr, "a_1"] & & \bar{W} \arrow[rr, "a_2"] & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where a_j, b_j, a_j', b_j' are in $\mathcal{A}_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Denote the bottom, top, front, back faces of the left (resp. right) cube by K_1, K_1', J_1, J_1' (resp. K_2, K_2', J_2, J_2'), and the common face of the two cubes by $\bar{I}$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(a) * p * x \arrow[rrrr, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,K,x})"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{a,I',\mathbf{1}_{X'}})"'] & & & & p * F(b) * x \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{p,J',\mathbf{1}_{X'}})"] \\
& a_2 * a_1 * p * x \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{a_2,K_1,x}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{a_2*a_1,I',\mathbf{1}_{X'}}"'] & a_2 * \bar{p} * b_1 * x \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,K_2,b_1*x}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{a_2*\bar{p},J_1',\mathbf{1}_{X'}}"] & p * b_2 * b_1 * x \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{p*b_2,J_1',\mathbf{1}_{X'}}"] & \\
F(a) * z * q' \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,J,q'})"'] & a_2 * a_1 * z * q' \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{a_2,J_1,q'}"'] & a_2 * \bar{p} * \bar{y} * b_1' \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,K_2,\bar{y}*b_1'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{a_2,\bar{I},b_1'}"'] & p * b_2 * \bar{y} * b_1' \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{p,J_2',b_1'}"'] & \\
& a_2 * \bar{w} * a_1' * q' \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{a_2*\bar{w},K_1',\mathbf{1}_{X'}}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,J_2,a_1'*q'}"'] & a_2 * \bar{w} * \bar{p}' * b_1' \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,J_2,\bar{p}',p_1'}"] & p * y * b_2' * b_1' \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,I,b_2'*b_1'}"] & p * y * F(b') \arrow[l, Rightarrow] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_W,I,b'})"] \\
& w * a_2' * a_1' * q \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{w*a_2',K_1',\mathbf{1}_{X'}}"] & w * a_2' * \bar{p}' * b_1' \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\sigma_{w,K_2',b_1'}"] & w * p' * b_2' * b_1' & \\
w * F(a') * q \arrow[ur, Rightarrow] \arrow[rrrr, Rightarrow, "F(\sigma_{w,K',b_1'})"] & & & & w * p' * F(b) \arrow[ul, Rightarrow]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. This finishes the construction of the 2-functor $F \colon \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E}$.

We define a pseudonatural equivalence $\epsilon \colon EF \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}'}$ sending X to $\mathbf{1}_X$ as follows. To every morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{B}$, we associate the composition

$$p * i \overset{\gamma_{\mathbf{1}_Y,p,i,\mathbf{1}_X}}{\Longrightarrow} pi \Rightarrow f,$$

where the second 2-cell is the image of α under the pseudofunctor $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{E}'$ induced by (2.16.4), $\kappa_f = (Z, i, p, \alpha)$. To every morphism f of $\mathcal{A}_i$, $3 \leq i \leq n$, we associate $\mathbf{1}_f$.

We define a pseudonatural equivalence $\eta \colon \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \to FE$ sending X to $\mathbf{1}_X$ as follows. To every morphism f of $\mathcal{A}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_2$), we associate (4.9.2). To every morphism f of $\mathcal{A}_i$, $3 \leq i \leq n$, we associate $\mathbf{1}_f$. □

Definition 5.9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories. We say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is *squaring in* $\mathcal{C}$ if every $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$-square (2.15.1) can be decomposed as

(5.9.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[dr, "f"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "j"] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "q"'] & & \\
& X' \arrow[r, "k"] \arrow[d, "r"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\delta}{\Nearrow}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\overset{\gamma}{\Nearrow}"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
& Z \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\beta}{\Nearrow}"] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where k is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$, r is a morphism of $\mathcal{B}$, f is a morphism of $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, and the inner square is 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{C}$.

If 2-fiber products exist in $\mathcal{B}$ and are 2-fiber products in $\mathcal{C}$ (cf. Condition (iii) of 4.13), then $(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B})$ is squaring in $\mathcal{C}$. A sufficient condition for $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ to be squaring in $\mathcal{C}$ is that 2-fiber products exist in $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are closed under 2-base change in $\mathcal{C}$ and under taking diagonals in $\mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $n \geq 3$, $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n,\mathcal{B}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Assume the following*

(1) Every morphism of $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to π for some morphisms i of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and p of $\mathcal{A}_2$.

(2) If $F\colon [2] \to \mathcal{C}$ is a 2-simplex such that $F(0\to 2)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$ and $F(1\to 2)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_2$, then $F(0\to 1)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$.

(3) $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_2)$ is squarable in $\mathcal{C}$ (4.11 (1)).

(4) For $3 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is stable under isomorphism and $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_i)$ is squarable and squaring in $\mathcal{C}$.

Then all the assumptions of 5.8 are satisfied. In particular, $E\colon \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}\mathbf{T}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$ is a 2-equivalence, and

$$Q_{\mathcal{D}}\colon \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_3,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

is a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-equivalence for every 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Note that (2) and (3) imply that $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_2)$ is squarable in $\mathcal{B}$ and that every $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_2)$-square, 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{B}$, is also 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. We first apply 4.12 to $(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2)$. Since (1) implies (i) and (3) implies (ii), we obtain conditions (1), (2), (3) of 5.8.

We then apply 4.12 to $(\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{B}),\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_1),\mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{A}_i;\mathcal{A}_2))$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$. Since (1), (2), (4) imply (i), and (3), (4) imply (ii), we obtain conditions (4) and (5) of 5.8.

Moreover, (1), (2), (4) imply condition (6) of 5.8. Finally, (1), (2), (3), (4) imply condition (7) of 5.8. ☐

6 Cartesian gluing data for two pseudofunctors

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. We studied the 2-category $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ of gluing data in Section 4. One way to construct such data is by taking adjoints in base change isomorphisms (see Section 8). In many applications, these isomorphisms only exist for 2-Cartesian squares. In this section, we introduce a variant $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, whose objects only make use of G_D when D is 2-Cartesian. The main result of this section is a criterion for the equivalence of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}$ and GD (6.5). This is used in the construction of $Rf_!$ for Deligne-Mumford stacks in [15] to produce the desired gluing data.

The idea of using Cartesian squares as an intermediary to construct gluing data was already used by Deligne in [4, 5.1.5] and by Ayoub in [1, Section 1.6.5]. We remark in passing that in [4, 5.1] it is possible to avoid this intermediary by taking $\mathcal{A}$ to be spanned by *dense* open immersions and $\mathcal{B}$ by proper maps, so that every $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square is Cartesian.

Construction 6.1. Let $(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G)$ be an object of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, $F_0 = |F_{\mathcal{A}}| = |F_{\mathcal{B}}|$. Define an invertible morphism $\rho\colon F_{\mathcal{B}} \mid \mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B} \to F_{\mathcal{A}} \mid \mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$ with $|\rho| = \mathbf{1}_{F_0}$ as follows. For any morphism $f\colon X\to Y$ of $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$, let $\rho(f)$ be the composition

$$F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \Longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_Y)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \xRightarrow{G_D} F_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_Y)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \Longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{A}}(f)\ ,$$

where D is the left square in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Denote the right square by D'. Applying axiom (a) in 4.3 to the outer square and axiom (b′) to the above diagram, one sees that $\rho(f)$ is the inverse of the composition

$$F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \Longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{A}}(f)F_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_X) \xRightarrow{G_{D'}} F_{\mathcal{B}}(f)F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_X) \Longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{B}}(f).$$

For any object X of $\mathcal{C}$, applying axiom (a) to the constant square $[1]\times[1]\to\mathcal{C}$ of value X, one finds that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1}_{F_0X} \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(\mathbf{1}_X)"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any sequence of morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, applying axioms (a), (b), (b$'$) to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Z \arrow[d, equal] \\
Y \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "g"'] \arrow[drr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Y \arrow[r, "g"] & Z \arrow[d, equal] \\
Z \arrow[rr] & & Z,
\end{tikzcd}$$

one finds that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{B}}(g)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(g)\rho(f)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(g)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(gf) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(gf)"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(gf).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore, ρ is a pseudonatural equivalence.

Remark 6.2. The pseudonatural equivalence ρ has the following properties:

(c) If D is an $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square (2.15.1) such that p,q are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$, then the following hexagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(q)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_D"'] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{A}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(iq) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)"] \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(p)"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(pj).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(c$'$) If D is an $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square (2.15.1) such that i,j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$, then the following hexagon commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(i)^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_D"'] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(iq) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha)"] \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(j)^{-1}"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{B}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(pj).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In fact, any square D in (c) can be decomposed as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Y \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, equal] & Y \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha\Nearrow"] & W \arrow[d, equal] \\
X \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "q"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & Z \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!/"] & W \arrow[d, equal] \\
Z \arrow[r, equal] & Z \arrow[r, "i"] & W.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Denote the upper left, upper right, middle, lower left, lower right squares by D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 and D_5, respectively. Then G_{D_2} can be identified with $\rho(p)^{-1}$ and G_{D_4} can be identified with $\rho(q)$. By axiom (a), G_{D_1} and G_{D_5} can be identified with identities and G_{D_3} can be identified with $F_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha)$. Hence axioms (b) and (b$'$) imply that the hexagon in (c) commutes. Similarly, axioms (a$'$), (b) and (b$'$) imply (c$'$).

Definition 6.3. Define a category $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ as follows. An object of this category is a quadruple $(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},(G_D),\rho)$ consisting of an object $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D})$, an object $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$, a family of invertible 2-cells of $\mathcal{D}$

$$G_D\colon F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q)\Rightarrow F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j),$$

D running over 2-*Cartesian* $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-squares in $\mathcal{C}$ of the form (2.15.1), and an invertible morphism $\rho\colon F_{\mathcal{B}}\mid\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}\to F_{\mathcal{A}}\mid\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$, such that $|\rho|=\mathbf{1}_{F_0}$, where $F_0=|F_{\mathcal{A}}|=|F_{\mathcal{B}}|$, and satisfying conditions (b), (b′) in 4.3 and conditions (c), (c’) in 6.2 for 2-Cartesian $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-squares.

A morphism $(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G,\rho)\to(F'_{\mathcal{A}},F'_{\mathcal{B}},G',\rho')$ of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a pair $(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}},\alpha_{\mathcal{B}})$ consisting of a morphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}\colon F_{\mathcal{A}}\to F'_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D})$ and a morphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}\colon F_{\mathcal{B}}\to F'_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$, such that $|\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}|=|\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|$, satisfying condition (m) of 4.3 for 2-Cartesian $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-squares, and the following condition

(n) The following square commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{B}}\mid\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}"'] & F_{\mathcal{A}}\mid\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B} \arrow[d, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}|\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}"] \\
F'_{\mathcal{B}}\mid\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "\rho'"] & F'_{\mathcal{A}}\mid\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

A 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a pair $(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}},\Xi_{\mathcal{B}})\colon(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}},\alpha_{\mathcal{B}})\Rightarrow(\alpha'_{\mathcal{A}},\alpha'_{\mathcal{B}})$ consisting of a 2-cell $\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}\colon\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}\Rightarrow\alpha'_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{D})$ and a 2-cell $\Xi_{\mathcal{B}}\colon\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}\Rightarrow\alpha'_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{D})$ such that $|\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}|=|\Xi_{\mathcal{B}}|$.

We view $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ as a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category via the 2-functor given by

$$\begin{gathered}(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G,\rho)\mapsto|F_{\mathcal{A}}|=|F_{\mathcal{B}}|,\\ (\alpha_{\mathcal{A}},\alpha_{\mathcal{B}})\mapsto|\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}|=|\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|,\quad(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}},\Xi_{\mathcal{B}})\mapsto|\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}|=|\Xi_{\mathcal{B}}|.\end{gathered}$$

Construction 6.1 defines a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor

$$\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})\to\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}),\tag{6.3.1}$$

which is clearly 2-faithful.

Remark 6.4. If $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is squaring in $\mathcal{C}$ (5.9), then (6.3.1) is 2-fully faithful. In fact, for objects $(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G)$, $(F'_{\mathcal{A}},F'_{\mathcal{B}},G')$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ and any morphism

$$(\alpha_A,\alpha_{\mathcal{B}})\colon(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G,\rho)\to(F'_{\mathcal{A}},F'_{\mathcal{B}},G',\rho')$$

of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ whose source and target are respectively the images of $(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G)$ and $(F'_{\mathcal{A}},F'_{\mathcal{B}},G')$ under (6.3.1), $(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}},\alpha_{\mathcal{B}})\colon(F_{\mathcal{A}},F_{\mathcal{B}},G)\to(F'_{\mathcal{A}},F'_{\mathcal{B}},G')$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. Indeed, for any $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-square D (2.15.1), decomposing it as (5.9.1), we see that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha_0(W)F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)"'] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend left, "G_D"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(i)"'] & \alpha_0(W)F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}\rho(f)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(i)"] & \alpha_0(W)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta)"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(p)"] & \alpha_0(W)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(p)"] \\
F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)\alpha_0(Z)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\beta}(\gamma)"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(q)"'] & F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)\alpha_0(Z)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(r)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)\alpha_0(Y)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(k)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)\alpha_0(Y)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(j)"] \\
 & F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F'_{\mathcal{B}}(r)\alpha_0(X')F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G'_{D'}\rho(f)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}(f)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F'_{\mathcal{A}}(k)\alpha_0(X')F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(f)"] & \\
F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F'_{\mathcal{B}}(q)\alpha_0(X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F'_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)"] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend right, "G'_D"'] & F'_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F'_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F'_{\mathcal{B}}(f)\alpha_0(X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G'_{D'}\rho'(f)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F'_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F'_{\mathcal{A}}(f)\alpha_0(X) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F'_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta)"] & F'_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F'_{\mathcal{A}}(j)\alpha_0(X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\alpha_0=|\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}|=|\alpha_{\mathcal{B}}|$, and D' is the inner square of (5.9.1).

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. Assume that every equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$, and $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B})$ are squaring in $\mathcal{C}$. Then (6.3.1) is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-categories.*

Proof. We construct the inverse as follows. Let $(F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, G, \rho)$ be an object of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. For any square D (2.15.1), decompose it as (5.9.1), and denote the inner square by D'. Let $\bar{G}_D$ be the composition

$$F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \xRightarrow{F_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)} F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \xRightarrow{G_{D'}\rho(f)} F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \xRightarrow{F_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta)} F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j).$$

This does not depend on the choice of the decomposition. In fact, if

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[rrd, bend left, "j"] \arrow[rd, "g"] \arrow[rdd, bend right, "q"'] & & \\
& X'' \arrow[r, "l"] \arrow[d, "s"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\zeta\Uparrow"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\epsilon\Uparrow"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
& Z \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[ru, phantom, "D''"] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

is another decomposition with l in $\mathcal{A}$, s in $\mathcal{B}$, g in $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, D'' 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{C}$, then they can be combined into

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[rrrdd, bend left, "j"] \arrow[rd, "g"] \arrow[rrdd, bend right, "f"] \arrow[rrddd, bend right=60, "q"'] & & & \\
& X'' \arrow[rrd, bend left, "l"] \arrow[rd, "h"] \arrow[rdd, bend right, "s"] \arrow[ru, phantom, "\zeta\Uparrow"] \arrow[l, phantom, "\omega\Uparrow"] & & \\
& \arrow[u, phantom, "\epsilon\Uparrow"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\phi\Uparrow"] & X' \arrow[r, "k"] \arrow[d, "r"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\psi\Uparrow"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
& & Z \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[ru, phantom, "D'"] & W,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where h is an equivalence. Applying the axioms to the decomposition of D''

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'' \arrow[rr, "l"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[rrd, phantom, "\psi\Uparrow"] & & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\
X'' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "s"'] \arrow[rd, phantom, "\phi\Uparrow"] & X' \arrow[r, "k"] \arrow[d, "r"] \arrow[rd, phantom, "D'"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
Z \arrow[r, equal] & Z \arrow[r, "i"] & W.
\end{tikzcd}$$

we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(s) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}", "\text{(b),(c)}"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\phi)"'] \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\text{(c)}"'] \arrow[rrd, Rightarrow, "\text{(b}'\text{)}"'] & & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l) \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(h) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(h)"'] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{A}}(h) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"'] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(h). \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\psi)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\epsilon)"'] \arrow[drr, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)"] & & \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(s)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\phi)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho(g)"'] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(h)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho(g)"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend left=60, "\rho(hg)"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\omega)"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\rho(f)"] \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(s)F_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\phi)"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}"'] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{B}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho(h)"] & \\
 & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{A}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(r)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\omega)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l)F_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\zeta)"'] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(g) \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\psi)"'] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f). \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\omega)"'] \arrow[dll, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta)"] \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(p)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next we show that $(F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, \bar{G})$ is an object of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. Axioms (a) and (a$'$) for $\bar{G}$ follow from axioms (c) and (c$'$). Let D, D' and D'' be squares as in axiom (b$'$) for $\bar{G}$. Decompose it as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[dr, "f"] \arrow[drrr, bend left, "j"] \arrow[dddrr, bend right, "p_1"'] & & \epsilon\Uparrow & & & \\
 & W \arrow[rr, "l"] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \eta\Uparrow & X_2 \arrow[dr, "h"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "j'"] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "p_2"] & \gamma\Uparrow & \\
 & \delta\Nearrow & Z_1 \arrow[rr, "k"] \arrow[d, "q_1"] & \beta\Nearrow & Z_2 \arrow[r, "k'"] \arrow[d, "q_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "E'"] & X_3 \arrow[d, "p_3"] \\
 & & Y_1 \arrow[rr, "i"] & E & Y_2 \arrow[r, "i'"] & Y_3,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where horizontal arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, vertical arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, oblique arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, the squares E, E' and the square H containing η are 2-Cartesian in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $E'' = E' \circ E$, $I = H \circ E$. Since I is the outer square of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, "l"] \arrow[d, "q_1 g"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "J"] & X_2 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "p_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\beta\Nearrow"] & X_2 \arrow[d, "q_2 h"] \\
Y_1 \arrow[r, "i"] & Y_2 \arrow[r, equal] & Y_2,
\end{tikzcd}$$

axioms (b$'$) and (c$'$) imply the commutativity of the following triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_1 g) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_J"'] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "G_I"] & \\
F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_2)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta)"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_2 h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l).
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_1) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\delta)"] \arrow[dddd, Rightarrow, bend right=60, "\bar{G}_D"'] & & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i'i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_1) \arrow[ddrr, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{D''}"] & & \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_1)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{E''}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho(f)"] & & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g)F_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho(f)"] & & \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{A}}(i)F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_1)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_E"] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, bend left, "G_{E''}", "(\mathrm{b}')"'] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "(\mathrm{b})", "G_I"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_J"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_2)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{E'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_H"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{B}}(g)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(g)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_H"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(\mathrm{c})"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{A}}(k)F_{\mathcal{A}}(g)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\eta)"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j'j) \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_2)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta')"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\epsilon)"] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_2)F_{\mathcal{B}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{E'}"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{B}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(h)"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{A}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(l)F_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\epsilon)"] & \\
F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_2)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta')"] \arrow[rrrr, Rightarrow, bend right=15, "\bar{G}_{D'}"'] & F_{\mathcal{A}}(i')F_{\mathcal{B}}(q_2)F_{\mathcal{B}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{E'}"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{B}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho(h)"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(k')F_{\mathcal{A}}(h)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)"] & F_{\mathcal{B}}(p_3)F_{\mathcal{A}}(j')F_{\mathcal{A}}(j). \arrow[uu, Rightarrow]
\end{tikzcd}$$

One establishes axiom (b) for $\bar{G}$ in a similar way.

Let $(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}})\colon (F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, G, \rho) \to (F'_{\mathcal{A}}, F'_{\mathcal{B}}, G', \rho')$ be a morphism of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. Then $(\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}})\colon (F_{\mathcal{A}}, F_{\mathcal{B}}, \bar{G}) \to (F'_{\mathcal{A}}, F'_{\mathcal{B}}, \bar{G}')$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ by 6.2. Let

$$(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{B}})\colon (\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}}) \Rightarrow (\alpha'_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha'_{\mathcal{B}})$$

be a 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. Then $(\Xi_{\mathcal{A}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$.

The 2-functor defined in this way is clearly the inverse of (6.3.1). ☐

7 Cartesian gluing data for finitely many pseudofunctors

In this section, we generalize the results of the previous section to the case of finitely many pseudofunctors. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ be arrowy 2-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category.

Remark 7.1. Let $((F_i), G)$ be an object of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. For $1 \le i, j \le n$, (F_i, F_j, G_{ij}) is an object of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. Let

$$\rho_{ij}\colon F_j \mid \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j \to F_i \mid \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j$$

be the morphism of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{D})$ associated to it by (6.3.1). Then $\rho_{ii} = \mathbf{1}_{F_i}$ and $\rho_{ji} = \rho_{ij}^{-1}$. We claim that ρ_{ij} has the following properties:

(E) For $1 \le i, j, k \le n$ and any $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j \cap \mathcal{A}_k)$-square D (5.3.1), the following squares commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_i(a)F_k(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(q)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ikD}"'] & F_i(a)F_j(q) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijD}"] & F_i(a)F_k(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(q)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ikD}"'] & F_i(a)F_j(q) \\
F_k(p)F_i(b) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(p)"] & F_j(p)F_i(b) & F_k(p)F_i(b) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(p)"] & F_j(p)F_i(b). \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "G_{jiD}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(F) (cocycle condition) For $1 \le i, j, k \le n$, the following triangle commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_k \mid \mathcal{A}_{ijk} \arrow[r, "\rho_{jk}\mid\mathcal{A}_{ijk}"] \arrow[dr, "\rho_{ik}\mid\mathcal{A}_{ijk}"'] & F_j \mid \mathcal{A}_{ijk} \arrow[d, "\rho_{ij}\mid\mathcal{A}_{ijk}"] \\
& F_i \mid \mathcal{A}_{ijk}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\mathcal{A}_{ijk} = \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j \cap \mathcal{A}_k$.

In fact, (E) follows from (D) applied to the cube

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[rr, "b"] \arrow[dr, "q"] \arrow[dd, "q"'] & & Y \arrow[dr, "p"] \arrow[dd, "p"' near start] & \\
& Z \arrow[rr, "a" near start] \arrow[dd, equal] & & W \arrow[dd, equal] \\
Z \arrow[rr, "a" near start] \arrow[dr, equal] & & W \arrow[dr, equal] & \\
& Z \arrow[rr, "a"] & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose top and back faces are D and whose other faces have identity 2-cells. Condition (F) follows from (E) applied to the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "/\!\!/"] & Y \arrow[d, equal] \\
Y \arrow[r, equal] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

for every morphism f of $\mathcal{A}_{ijk}$.

Definition 7.2. We define a 2-category $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ as follows. An object of this 2-category is a triple $((F_i)_{1\le i\le n},(G_{ij})_{1\le i<j\le n},(\rho_{ij})_{1\le i<j\le n})$, where $F_i\colon \mathcal{A}_i\to\mathcal{D}$ is an object of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$ for $1\le i\le n$, and $(F_i,F_j,G_{ij},\rho_{ij})$ is an object of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ for $1\le i<j\le n$, satisfying condition (D) for $1\le i<j<k\le n$ and cubes with 2-Cartesian faces, condition (E) for $1\le i<j<k\le n$ and 2-Cartesian squares, and condition (F) for $1\le i<j<k\le n$.

A morphism $((F_i),G,\rho)\to((F'_i),G',\rho')$ of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a collection $(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ of morphisms $\alpha_i\colon F_i\to F'_i$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$, such that for $1\le i<j\le n$,

$$(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\colon (F_i,F_j,G_{ij},\rho_{ij})\to(F'_i,F'_j,G'_{ij},\rho'_{ij})$$

is a morphism of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$.

A 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a collection $(\Xi_i)_{1\le i\le n}\colon(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le n}\Rightarrow(\alpha'_i)_{1\le i\le n}$ of 2-cells $\Xi_i\colon\alpha_i\Rightarrow\alpha'_i$ of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{D})$ such that $|\Xi_1|=\dots=|\Xi_n|$.

We view $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ as a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category via the 2-functor given by

$$((F_i),G,\rho)\mapsto|F_1|=\dots=|F_n|,$$
$$(\alpha_i)\mapsto|\alpha_1|=\dots=|\alpha_n|,\qquad(\Xi_i)\mapsto|\Xi_1|=\dots=|\Xi_n|.$$

This $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-category coincides with the one defined in 6.1 if $n=2$.

The construction in 7.1 defines a $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}$-functor

$$\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})\to\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}),\tag{7.2.1}$$

which is clearly 2-faithful. If, for all $1\le i<j\le n$, $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{A}_j$ are squaring in $\mathcal{C}$ (5.9), then (7.2.1) is 2-fully faithful by 6.4.

Definition 7.3. We say that $(\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n)$ is *cubing* in $\mathcal{C}$ if, for $1\le i,j\le n$, $i\ne j$, $(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_i\cap\mathcal{A}_j)$ are squaring in $\mathcal{C}$, and for pairwise distinct numbers $1\le i,j,k\le n$, every cube (5.2.1) can be decomposed as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[rrrd, bend left, "b'"] \arrow[dr, "f'"] \arrow[ddrr, bend right, "q'"'] \arrow[dd, "x"'] & & & & \\
& V' \arrow[rr, "c'"] \arrow[dr, "r'"] \arrow[dd, "v"] & & Y' \arrow[dr, "p'"] \arrow[dd, "y"] & \\
X \arrow[rrrd, bend left, "b"] \arrow[dr, "f"] \arrow[ddrr, bend right, "q"'] & & Z' \arrow[rr, "a'"] \arrow[dd, "z"] & & W' \arrow[dd, "w"] \\
& V \arrow[rr, "c"] \arrow[dr, "r"] & & Y \arrow[dr, "p"] & \\
& & Z \arrow[rr, "a"] & & W
\arrow[from=1-1, to=2-4, phantom, "\delta'\Uparrow"]
\arrow[from=2-2, to=3-3, phantom, "\gamma'\Uparrow", near start]
\arrow[from=3-1, to=4-4, phantom, "\delta\Uparrow"]
\arrow[from=4-2, to=5-3, phantom, "\gamma\Uparrow", near start]
\end{tikzcd}\tag{7.3.1}$$

where c,c' are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_i$, r,r' are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_j$, f,f' are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}_i\cap\mathcal{A}_j$, v is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_k$, the bottom face L and the top face L' of the inner cube are 2-Cartesian. Note that if the right face I (resp. the front face J) of the inner cube is 2-Cartesian, so is the left face I'' (resp. the back face J'') by [10, 3.11].

If $n=1$, every arrowy 2-subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is cubing in $\mathcal{C}$. If $n=2$, $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2)$ is cubing if and only if $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2)$, $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_1\cap\mathcal{A}_2)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_1\cap\mathcal{A}_2)$ are squaring in $\mathcal{C}$. In general, one sufficient condition for $(\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n)$ to be cubing in $\mathcal{C}$ is that $\mathcal{C}$ admits 2-fiber products, and $\mathcal{A}_i$ is stable under 2-base change in $\mathcal{C}$ and taking diagonals in $\mathcal{C}$ for all $1\le i\le n$.

The following generalizes 6.5.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(2,1)$-category, $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n$ be 2-faithful subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_1)=\dots=\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_n)=\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{D}$ be a 2-category. Assume that every morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ that is an equivalence is contained in $\mathcal{A}_1\cap\dots\cap\mathcal{A}_n$ and that $(\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n)$ are cubing. Then (7.2.1) is an isomorphism of 2-categories.*

Proof. We construct the inverse as follows. Let $((F_i), G, \rho)$ be an object of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$, let $(F_i, F_j, \bar{G}_{ij})$ be the image of $(F_i, F_j, G_{ij}, \rho_{ij})$ under the inverse of (6.3.1). To show that $((F_i), \bar{G})$ is an object of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$, it suffices to check condition (D) for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$.

First note that for pairwise distinct numbers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, G satisfies (D) for cubes with 2-Cartesian faces and ρ satisfies (F). Here for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we put $G_{ji} = G^*_{ij}$ and $\rho_{ji} = \rho^{-1}_{ij}$. Next we show that $\bar{G}$ satisfies (E) for pairwise distinct numbers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ and all $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j \cap \mathcal{A}_k)$-squares D (5.3.1). Decompose D as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[dr, "f"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "b"] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "q"'] & \arrow[d, phantom, "\overset{\delta}{\Nearrow}"] & \\
\arrow[r, phantom, "\overset{\gamma}{\Nearrow}"] & X' \arrow[r, "c"] \arrow[d, "r"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\beta}{\Nearrow}"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
& Z \arrow[r, "a"] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where c is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_i$, r is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_j \cap \mathcal{A}_k$, f is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j \cap \mathcal{A}_k$, and the inner square D' is 2-Cartesian. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_i(a)F_k(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_k(\gamma)"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(q)"'] \arrow[rrrr, Rightarrow, bend left, "\bar{G}_{ikD}"] & F_i(a)F_k(r)F_k(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_{ik}(f)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(r)\rho_{jk}(f)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(\mathrm{F})"] & F_i(a)F_k(r)F_i(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{ikD'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(r)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(\mathrm{E})"] & F_k(p)F_i(c)F_i(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_i(\delta)"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(p)"] & F_k(p)F_i(b) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{jk}(p)"] \\
F_i(a)F_j(q) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_j(\gamma)"] \arrow[rrrr, Rightarrow, bend right, "\bar{G}_{ijD}"'] & F_i(a)F_j(r)F_j(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_{ij}(f)"] & F_i(a)F_j(r)F_i(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{ijD'}"] & F_j(p)F_i(c)F_i(f) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "F_i(\delta)"] & F_j(p)F_i(b).
\end{tikzcd}$$

For pairwise distinct numbers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, we show (D) for $\bar{G}$ by descending induction on the number m of pairs of 2-Cartesian opposite faces in the cube (5.2.1). If $m = 3$, all the faces of the cube are 2-Cartesian, so the assertion is identical to (D) for G. If $m < 3$, by symmetry, we may assume that either the bottom face K or the top face K' is not 2-Cartesian. Decompose the cube as (7.3.1). The inner cube has more than m pairs of 2-Cartesian opposite faces, hence (D) holds for the inner cube by induction hypothesis. Therefore, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_i(a)F_j(q)F_k(x) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{jkI'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_j(\gamma)"] \arrow[dddd, Rightarrow, bend right=60, "\bar{G}_{ijK}"'] & & F_i(a)F_k(z)F_j(q') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikJ}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_j(\gamma')"] & F_k(w)F_i(a')F_j(q') \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_j(\gamma')"'] \arrow[dddd, Rightarrow, bend left=60, "\bar{G}_{ijK'}"] \\
F_i(a)F_j(r)F_j(f)F_k(x) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{jkM}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{ij}(f)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "(\mathrm{E})"] & F_i(a)F_j(r)F_k(v)F_j(f') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{jkI''}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{ij}(f')"] & F_i(a)F_k(z)F_j(r')F_j(f') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikJ}"] & F_k(w)F_i(a')F_j(r')F_j(f') \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\rho_{ij}(f')"] \\
F_i(a)F_j(r)F_i(f)F_k(x) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikM}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijL}"] & F_i(a)F_j(r)F_k(v)F_i(f') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{jkI''}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijL}"] & F_i(a)F_k(z)F_j(r')F_i(f') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikJ}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "(\mathrm{D})"] & F_k(w)F_i(a')F_j(r')F_i(f') \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_{ijL'}"] \\
F_j(p)F_i(c)F_i(f')F_k(x) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikM}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_i(\delta)"] & F_j(p)F_i(c)F_k(v)F_i(f') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikJ''}"] & F_j(p)F_k(y)F_i(c')F_i(f') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{jkI}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_i(\delta')"] & F_k(w)F_j(p')F_i(c')F_i(f') \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_i(\delta')"'] \\
F_j(p)F_i(b)F_k(x) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{ikJ'}"] & & F_j(p)F_k(y)F_i(b') \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\bar{G}_{jkI}"] & F_k(w)F_j(p')F_i(b').
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here M is the square $X'V'XV$.

Any morphism $(\alpha_i)\colon ((F_i), G, \rho) \to ((F'_i), G', \rho')$ of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a morphism $((F_i), \bar{G}) \to ((F'_i), \bar{G}')$ of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$. Any 2-cell $(\Xi_i)\colon (\alpha_i) \Rightarrow (\alpha'_i)$ of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a 2-cell of $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathcal{A}_n,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$.

It is clear that the 2-functor defined in this way is the inverse of (7.2.1). ☐

Remark 7.5. We can consider yet another 2-category of gluing data

$$\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})' = \mathrm{PsFun}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$$

by dropping (ρ_{ij}) from the definition of $\mathrm{GD}^{\mathrm{Cart}}$, where $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{Cart}}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}\mathcal{C}$ is the n-fold subcategory spanned by Cartesian squares. An ∞-categorical variant of this is studied in [12, Section 6].

8 Gluing data and adjunction

In this section we show how to produce gluing data from base change maps by taking adjoints. The arguments are somewhat *ad hoc* in nature. A more systematic treatment is possible in the ∞-categorical setting [11, 1.3].

Definition 8.1. We define a 2-category $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$ and by taking $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}(X, Y)$ to be the category of adjoint pairs from X to Y for every pair (X, Y) of objects of $\mathcal{D}$. More explicitly, a morphism $X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}$ is a quadruple (f, g, η, ϵ) consisting of morphisms $f\colon X \to Y$, $g\colon Y \to X$ and 2-cells $\eta\colon \mathbf{1}_Y \Rightarrow fg$, $\epsilon\colon gf \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_X$ of $\mathcal{D}$ such that the following triangles commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}_f"'] & fgf \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon"] \\
& f
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
g \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}_g"'] & gfg \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon"] \\
& g.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The composition of $(f_1, g_1, \eta_1, \epsilon_1)\colon X \to Y$ and $(f_2, g_2, \eta_2, \epsilon_2)\colon Y \to Z$ is

$$(f_2 f_1, g_1 g_2, \eta_1\eta_2, \epsilon_1\epsilon_2)\colon X \to Z,$$

where $\eta_1\eta_2$ is the composition

$$\mathbf{1}_Z \xRightarrow{\eta_2} f_2 g_2 \xRightarrow{\eta_1} f_2 f_1 g_1 g_2$$

and $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2$ is the composition

$$g_1 g_2 f_1 f_2 \xRightarrow{\epsilon_2} g_1 f_1 \xRightarrow{\epsilon_1} \mathbf{1}_X.$$

The identity morphism of an object X is $(\mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_X}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_X})$. A 2-cell $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon) \Rightarrow (f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$ of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}$ is a pair (α, β) of 2-cells $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow f'$ and $\beta\colon g' \Rightarrow g$ of $\mathcal{D}$ such that the following squares commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1}_Y \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta'"'] & fg \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha"] \\
f'g' \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\beta"] & f'g
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
g'f \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta"'] & g'f' \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon'"] \\
gf \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon"] & \mathbf{1}_X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The projection 2-functors $P_1\colon \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $P_2\colon \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}} \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$ are pseudofaithful (1.1).

Remark 8.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-category. Then P_1 and P_2 induce pseudofaithful 2-functors

$$P_1\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), \quad P_2\colon \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}) \to \mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

An object F of $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ (resp. $\mathrm{PsFun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}})$) is in the image of P_1 (resp. P_2) if and only if for every morphism a of $\mathcal{C}$, $F(a)$ can be completed into an adjoint pair $(F(a), g, \eta, \epsilon)$ (resp. $(f, F(a), \eta, \epsilon)$).

In the rest of this section, we fix a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$, a pseudofunctor $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$, a 2-faithful subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, and a pseudofunctor $B\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}$ such that $P_2(B) = F \mid \mathcal{B}$. We do *not* assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a $(2,1)$-category. We denote F by

$$f \mapsto f^*, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^*,$$

and the pseudofunctor $R = P_1(B)\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ by

$$p \mapsto p_*, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha_*.$$

Construction 8.3. Let D be a square in $\mathcal{C}$

(8.3.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "q"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\swArrow_{\alpha}"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "i"] & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p and q are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. The base change map B_D is by definition the following 2-cell of $\mathcal{D}$

$$i^* p_* \xRightarrow{\eta_q} q_* q^* i^* p_* \Longrightarrow q_*(iq)^* p_* \xRightarrow{\alpha^*} q_*(pj)^* p_* \Longrightarrow q_* j^* p^* p_* \xRightarrow{\epsilon_p} q_* j^*.$$

If i and j are also morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, then B_D is also the composition

$$i^*p_* \xRightarrow{\eta_j} i^*p_*j_*j^* \Longrightarrow i^*(pj)_*j^* \xRightarrow{\alpha_*} i^*(iq)_*j^* \Longrightarrow i^*i_*q_*j^* \xRightarrow{\epsilon_i} q_*j^*.$$

In fact, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & i^*p_* \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] & & q_*q^*i^*p_* \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] \\
i^*p_* \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend right=60, swap, "\mathbf{1}"] & i^*i_*i^*p_* \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] & i^*i_*q_*q^*i^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & \\
i^*p_*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] & i^*p_*j_*j^*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_*"] & i^*i_*q_*j^*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] & q_*j^*p^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] \\
i^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] & i^*p_*j_*j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_*"] & i^*i_*q_*j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] & q_*j^*.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 8.4. *(1) Let D, D', D'' be respectively the upper, lower and outer squares of the diagram in $\mathcal{C}$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d, swap, "q"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Swarrow_{\alpha}"] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X_2 \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[d, swap, "q'"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Swarrow_{\alpha'}"] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "p'"] \\
X_3 \arrow[r, "i_3"] & Y_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_3^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_{D'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & q'_*i_2^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_D"] & q'_*q_*i_1^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
i_3^*(p'p)_* \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "B_{D''}"] & & (q'q)_*i_1^*.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) Let D, D', D'' be respectively the left, right and outer squares of the diagram in $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, swap, "p_1"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Swarrow_{\alpha}"] & X_2 \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d, "p_2"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Swarrow_{\alpha'}"] & X_3 \arrow[d, "p_3"] \\
Y_1 \arrow[r, "i"] & Y_2 \arrow[r, "i'"] & Y_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j^*j'^*p_{3*} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_D"] & j^*p_{2*}a'^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_{D'}"] & p_{1*}i^*i'^* \\
(j'j)^*p_{3*} \arrow[u, Rightarrow] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "B_{D''}"] & & p_{1*}(i'i)^*. \arrow[u, Rightarrow]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This is [1, 1.1.11, 1.1.12]. We provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

(1) The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_3^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_{q'}"'] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend left, "B_{D'}"] \arrow[rrrddd, Rightarrow, bend right, "B_{D''}"] & q'_*q'^*i_3^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha'^*"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"'] & q'_*i_2^*p'^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{p'}"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] & q'_*i_2^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"'] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend left, "B_D"] \\
& q'_*q_*q^*q'^*i_3^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha'^*"] & q'_*q_*q^*i_2^*p'^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{p'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"'] & q'_*q_*q^*i_2^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] \\
& & q'_*q_*i_1^*p^*p'^*p'_*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{p'}"] & q'_*q_*i_1^*p^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] \\
& & & q'_*q_*i_1^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) Similar to (1).

$\square$

In the rest of this section, we further fix a 2-faithful subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and a pseudofunctor $A\colon \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{adj}}$ with $P_1(A) = F$. We denote the pseudofunctor $L\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{D}$, composition of $P_2(A)\colon \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ and the isomorphism $(\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{D}$, by

$$i \mapsto i_!, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha_!.$$

Construction 8.5. Let D be a square (8.3.1) in $\mathcal{C}$ where i and j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. The base change map A_D is by definition the following 2-cell in $\mathcal{D}$

$$j_!q^* \xRightarrow{\eta_i} j_!q^*i^*i_! \Longrightarrow j_!(iq)^*i_! \xRightarrow{\alpha^*} j_!(pj)^*i_! \Longrightarrow j_!j^*p^*i_! \xRightarrow{\epsilon_j} p^*i_!.$$

If p and q are also morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, then A_D is also the composition

$$j_!q^* \xRightarrow{\eta_p} p^*p_!j_!q^* \Longrightarrow p^*(pj)_!q^* \xRightarrow{\alpha_!} p^*(iq)_!q^* \Longrightarrow p^*i_!q_!q^* \xRightarrow{\epsilon_q} p^*i_!.$$

We have an analogue of 8.4 for A_D.

Construction 8.6. Let D be a square (8.3.1) in $\mathcal{C}$ where i and j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, p and q are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. Then

$$(B_D, A_D)\colon (i^*p_*, p^*i_!, \eta_p\eta_i, \epsilon_p\epsilon_i) \Rightarrow (q_*j^*, j_!q^*, \eta_q\eta_j, \epsilon_q\epsilon_j)$$

is a 2-cell of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}$. In fact, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1}_Z \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"'] & i^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] & i^*p_*p^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] \arrow[rddd, Rightarrow, bend left, "B_D"] & \\
q_*q^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"'] & q_*q^*i^*i_! \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & q_*q^*i^*p_*p^*i_! \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & \\
q_*j^*j_!q^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[rrrd, Rightarrow, bend right, "A_D"'] & q_*j^*j_!q^*i^*i_! \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & q_*j^*p^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] & q_*j^*p^*p_*p^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] \\
& & q_*j^*j_!j^*p^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_j"] & q_*j^*p^*i_!
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j_!q^*i^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] \arrow[rrrd, Rightarrow, bend left, "B_D"] \arrow[dddr, Rightarrow, bend right, "A_D"'] & j_!q^*q_*q^*i^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_q"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & & \\
j_!q^*i^*i_!i^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & j_!q^*i^*p_* \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & j_!q^*q_*j^*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] & j_!q^*q_*j^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_q"] \\
& j_!j^*p^*i_!i^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_j"] & j_!j^*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_j"] & j_!j^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_j"] \\
& p^*i_!i^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] & p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] & \mathbf{1}_X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that B_D is invertible if and only if A_D is. In this case, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"'] & i_!q_*j^*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] & i_!i^*p_*j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \\
p_*p^*i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "A_D^{-1}"] & p_*j_!q^*q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_q"] & p_*j_!
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes and we define $G_D\colon i_!q_* \Rightarrow p_*j_!$ to be the composition. In fact, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& i_!q_* \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] & & i_!q_*j^*j_! \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] \\
i_!q_* \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend right, "\mathbf{1}"'] & i_!q_*q^*q_* \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_q"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[d, phantom, "H"] & i_!q_*j^*j_!q^*q_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] & \\
i_!i^*i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] & i_!i^*p_*p^*i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "A_D^{-1}"] & i_!i^*p_*j_!q^*q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] & i_!i^*p_*j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \\
i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] & p_*p^*a_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "A_D^{-1}"] & p_*j_!q^*q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_q"] & p_*j_!,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the hexagon H commutes because the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& q_*q^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] & q_*j^*j_!q^* \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "A_D"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] & \\
\mathbf{1}_Z \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"'] & q_*j^*p^*i_! \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] & & i^*p_*j_!q^* \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "A_D"] \\
& i^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] & i^*p_*p^*i_!. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Construction 8.7. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$(\epsilon_f^B, \eta_f^A)\colon (f^*f_*, f^*f_!, \eta_f^B\eta_f^A, \epsilon_f^B\epsilon_f^A) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_X}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_X})$$

is a 2-cell of $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{adj}}$. It follows that ϵ_f^B is invertible if and only if η_f^A is. In this case, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_f^B"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\epsilon_f^B)^{-1}"'] & f_*f^*f_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\eta_f^A)^{-1}"] \\
f_!f^*f_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_f^A"] & f_*
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we define $\rho_f\colon f_! \Rightarrow f_*$ to be the composition. In fact, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & f_* \arrow[rrd, Rightarrow, "\eta^A"] & & \\
 & f_!f^*f_* \arrow[ru, Rightarrow, "\epsilon^A"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta^A"] \arrow[ld, Rightarrow, "\epsilon^B"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta^B"] & f_!f^*f_*f^*f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon^A"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & f_*f^*f_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta^B"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend left=60, "\mathbf{1}"] & \\
f_! \arrow[rd, Rightarrow, "\eta^B"'] & f_*f^*f_!f^*f_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon^B"] & f_*f^*f_!f^*f_*f^*f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & f_*f^*f_*f^*f_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon^B"] & \\
 & f_*f^*f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta^A"] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, bend right=20, "\mathbf{1}"'] & f_*f^*f_!f^*f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon^A"] & f_*f^*f_!. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a pair of composable morphisms of $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ with ϵ_f^B and ϵ_g^B invertible. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
g_!f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_f^B"'] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, bend left=20, "\rho_a"] \arrow[rrdd, Rightarrow, bend right=40, "\rho_{gf}"'] & g_!f_*f^*f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_f^A)^{-1}"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_g^B"'] & g_!f_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_g^B"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend left=60, "\rho_g"] \\
 & g_*g^*g_!f_*f^*f_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_f^A)^{-1}"] & g_*g^*g_!f_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\eta_g^A)^{-1}"'] \\
 & & g_*f_*.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following properties of G_D and ρ_f are similar to axioms (b), (b′) of 4.3 and (c), (c′) of 6.2.

Proposition 8.8. *(1) In the situation of 8.4 (1), if the horizontal arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, the vertical arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, and if B_D and $B_{D'}$ are invertible, then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_{3!}q'_*q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & p_*i_{2!}q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] & p'_*p_*i_{1!} \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
i_{3!}(q'q)_* \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}"] & & (p'p)_*i_{1!}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) In the situation of 8.4 (2), if the horizontal arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, the vertical arrows are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, and if B_D and $B_{D'}$ are invertible, then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i'_!i_!p_{1*} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_D"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & i'_!p_{2*}j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{D'}"] & p_{3*}j'_!j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
(i'i)_!p_{1*} \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "G_{D''}"] & & p_{3*}(j'j)_!.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3) Let D be a square (8.3.1) *in $\mathcal{C}$ where i and j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, p and q are morphisms of $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, ϵ_p^B, ϵ_q^B and B_D are invertible. Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_!q_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_D"'] & i_!q_! \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\rho_q"'] & (iq)_! \arrow[l, Rightarrow] \\
p_*j_! & p_!j_! \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\rho_p"] & (pj)_!. \arrow[l, Rightarrow] \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "\alpha_!"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

(4) Let D be a square (8.3.1) *in $\mathcal{C}$ where i and j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$, p and q are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, ϵ_i^B, ϵ_j^B and B_D are invertible. Then the diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "G_D"'] & i_*q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & (iq)_* \\
p_*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_j"] & p_*j_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & (pj)_*. \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "\alpha_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. (1) Similar to (2).

(2) The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i'_!i_!p_{1*} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"'] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend left, "G_D"] \arrow[rrrddd, Rightarrow, bend right, "G_{D''}"] & i'_!i_!p_{1*}j^*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"'] & i'_!i_*i^*p_{2*}j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"] & i'_!p_{2*}j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend left, "G_{D'}"] \\
 & i'_!i_!p_{1*}j^*j'^*j'_!j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] & i'_!i_!i^*p_{2*}j'^*j'_!j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_{D'}^{-1}"'] & i'_!p_{2*}j'^*j'_!j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_{D'}^{-1}"] \\
 & & i'_!i_!i^*i'^*p_{3*}j'_!j_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] & i'_1i'^*p_{3*}j'_!j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"] \\
 & & & p_{3*}j'_!j_!.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3) Similar to (4).

(4) The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^B"'] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, bend left, "\rho_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^A"] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend right=60, "G_D"'] & i_*i^*i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_i^A)^{-1}"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & i_*q_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^A"', "\simeq"] & \\
i_!q_*j^*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"] & i_*i^*i_!q_*j^*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & i_*q_*j^*j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_D^{-1}"', "\simeq"] & \\
i_!i^*p_*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^B"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i^A"] & i_*i^*i_!i^*p_*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_f^A)^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i^A"] & i_*i^*p_*j_! & \\
p_*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^B"] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, bend right, "\eta_j^B"'] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend right=40, "\rho_j"'] & i_*i^*p_*j_! \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] & p_*j_*j^*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_j^A)^{-1}"] \arrow[uu, Rightarrow, bend right=60, "\alpha_*"'] & p_*j_*, \arrow[uuul, Rightarrow, bend right, "\alpha_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the pentagon commutes because the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^B"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_p"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend right=60, "\mathbf{1}_{p_*}"'] & i_*i^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_q"] \arrow[ddr, Rightarrow, bend left=80, "B_D"] & i_*q_*q^*i^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] \\
p_*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^B"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] & p_*j_*j^*p^*p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & i_*q_*j^*p^*p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_p"] \\
p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^B"] & p_*j_*j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha_*"] & i_*q_*b^*.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

The following property is similar to condition (n) of 6.3.

Proposition 8.9. *Let D be a square* (8.3.1) *in $\mathcal{C}$ where i and j are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}\cap\mathcal{B}$, ϵ_i^B, ϵ_j^B and α are invertible, and let D' be the square obtained by inverting α. Then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j_!q^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_j"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "A_D"'] & j_*q^* \\
p^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\rho_i"] & p^*i_*. \arrow[u, Rightarrow, "B_{D'}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j_!q^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^B"'] \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, bend left, "\rho_j"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^A"] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend right=50, "A_D"'] & j_!j^*j_*q^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_j^A)^{-1}"'] & j_*q^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^A"] & \\
j_!q^*i^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & & j_*q^*i^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend left=40, "\eta_i^B"] \arrow[dddr, Rightarrow, bend left, "\mathbf{1}"] & \\
j_!j^*p^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^B"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_j^A"] & j_!j^*j_*j^*p^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\eta_j^A)^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_j^A"] & j_*j^*p^*i_! \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] & \\
p^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^B"] \arrow[dd, Rightarrow, bend right=50, "\rho_i"'] & j_*j^*p^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i^B"] & & \\
p^*i_*i^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^B"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\eta_i^A)^{-1}"] & j_*j^*p^*i_*i^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\alpha^{-1})^*"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\eta_i^A)^{-1}"] & j_*q^*i^*i_*i^*i_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i^B"] & j_*q^*i^*i_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "(\eta_i^A)^{-1}"] \\
p^*i_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j^B"] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend right, "B_{D'}"] & j_*j^*p^*i_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\alpha^{-1})^*"] & j_*q^*i^*i_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i^B"] & j_*q^*.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

The following property is similar to condition (m) of 4.3.

Proposition 8.10. *Let*

(8.10.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[rr, "j'"] \arrow[dr, "q'"] \arrow[dd, "x"'] & & Y' \arrow[dr, "p'"] \arrow[dd, "y", near start] & \\
& Z' \arrow[rr, crossing over, "i'", near start] & & W' \arrow[dd, "w"] \\
X \arrow[rr, "j", near start] \arrow[dr, "q"'] & & Y \arrow[dr, "p"] & \\
& Z \arrow[rr, "i"] \arrow[from=uu, crossing over, "z", near start] & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a cube in $\mathcal{C}$, where i, j, i', j' are morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, p, q, p', q' are morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, and the 2-cells of the right, left, front, back, bottom, top faces, I, I', J, J', K, K', are respectively

$$py \Rightarrow wp', \quad qx \Rightarrow zq', \quad \beta\colon wi' \Rightarrow iz, \quad \beta'\colon yj' \Rightarrow jx, \quad pj \Rightarrow iq, \quad p'j' \Rightarrow i'q'.$$

Assume that B_K and $B_{K'}$ are invertible. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i'_!z^*q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_{I'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "A_J"'] & i'_!q'_*x^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_{K'}"] & p'_*j'_!x^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "A_{J'}"] \\
w^*i_!q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "G_K"] & w^*p_*j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_I"] & p'_*y^*j_!.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & i'_! z^* q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[ddl, Rightarrow, "B_{I'}"'] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend left, "A_J"] & i'_! z^* i^* i_! q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\beta^*"] & i'_! i'^* w^* i_! q_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"'] & w^* i_! q_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend left=60, "G_K"] \\
 & i'_! q^* q_* j^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_{I'}"] & i'_! z^* i^* i_! q_* j^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\beta^*"] & i'_! i'^* w^* i_! q_* j^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_K^{-1}"'] & w^* i_! q_* j^* j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_K^{-1}"] \\
i'_! q'_* x^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"] \arrow[ddd, Rightarrow, bend right=60, "G_{K'}"] & i'_! q'_* x^* j^* j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"] & & i'_! i'^* w^* i_! i^* p_* j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"'] & w^* i_! i^* p_* j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \\
i'_! q'_* j'^* j'_! x^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_{K'}^{-1}"] & i'_! q'_* j'^* j'_! x^* j^* j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_{K'}^{-1}"] & & i'_! i'^* w^* p_* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "B_I"'] & w^* p_* j_! \arrow[ddl, Rightarrow, "B_I"] \\
i'_! i'^* p'_* j'_! x^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"] & i'_! i'^* p'_* j'_! x^* j^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\beta'^*"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"] & i'_! i'^* p'_* j'_! j'^* y^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{j'}"] & i'_! i'^* p'_* y^* j_! \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{i'}"'] & \\
p'_* j'_! x^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_j"] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, bend right, "A_{J'}"'] & p'_* j'_! x^* j^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\beta'^*"] & p'_* j'_! j'^* y^* j_! \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{j'}"] & p'_* y^* j_!, &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the decagon is the outline of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & q'_* x^* j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta'^*"] & q'_* j'^* j'_! x^* j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_{K'}^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta'^*"] & i'^* p'_* j'_! x^* j^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta'^*"] \\
z^* q_* j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_K^{-1}"'] \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "B_{I'}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"'] & z^* i^* p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\eta_i"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] & q'_* j'^* y^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\eta_{j'}"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\mathbf{1}"] & q'_* j'^* j'_! j'^* y^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_{K'}^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{j'}"] & i'^* p'_* j'_* j'^* y^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_{j'}"] \\
z^* i^* i_! q_* j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_K^{-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta^*"'] & z^* i^* i_! i^* p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta^*"] & z^* i^* p_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta^*"] & q'_* j'^* y^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_{K'}^{-1}"] & i'^* p'_* y^* j_! \\
i'^* w^* i_! q_* j^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "B_K^{-1}"] & i'^* w^* i_! i^* p_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\epsilon_i"] & i'^* w^* p_*, \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "B_I"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the octagon commutes by 8.4. ☐

9 Appendix: Double categories of double pseudofunctors

In this section we organize double pseudofunctors between double categories into double categories and relate them to the 2-category $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D})$ constructed in 2.19 of pseudofunctors from a double category $\mathbb{C}$ to a 2-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Recall that a *double functor* $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ between double categories consists of functions $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{D})$, $\mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{D})$, $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{D})$, $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{D})$, strictly compatible with sources, targets, units and compositions. Fiore defined the notion of pseudo double functors [6, 6.4], which are weakly compatible with horizontal composition and strictly compatible with vertical composition. We need the more general notion of double pseudofunctors defined by Shulman [14, 6.1], weakly compatible with compositions in both directions. In our notations, the definition is as follows.

Definition 9.1. Let $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{D}$ be double categories. A *double pseudofunctor* $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ consists of a function $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{D})$, two pseudofunctors $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{H}\mathbb{D}$, $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{V}\mathbb{D}$ and a function $\mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{D})$, compatible with sources and targets, and satisfying the following axioms

(a) For any 2-cell $\alpha\colon i \Rightarrow j$ in $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{C}$ corresponding to a square D of the form (2.9.1) in $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] & FX \arrow[r, "F(j)"] \arrow[d, "F(\mathbf{1}_X)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D)"] & FY \arrow[d, "F(\mathbf{1}_Y)"] \\
FX \arrow[r, equal] & FX \arrow[r, "F(i)"] & FY
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "F(j)"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "E"] & FY \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] & FY \arrow[d, "F(\mathbf{1}_Y)"] \\
FX \arrow[r, "F(i)"] & FY \arrow[r, equal] & FY,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the square E is given by the 2-cell $F(\alpha)$ in $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{D}$.

(a′) For any 2-cell $\alpha\colon q \Rightarrow p$ in $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C}$ corresponding to a square D of the form (2.9.2) in $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "F(\mathbf{1}_X)"] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D)"] & FX \arrow[d, "F(p)"] \\
FY \arrow[r, "F(\mathbf{1}_Y)"] \arrow[d, equal] & FY \arrow[d, equal] \\
FY \arrow[r, equal] & FY
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "F(\mathbf{1}_X)"] \arrow[d, equal] & FX \arrow[d, equal] \\
FX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "E"] & FX \arrow[d, "F(p)"] \\
FY \arrow[r, equal] & FY,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the square E is given by the 2-cell $F(\alpha)$ in $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{D}$.

(b) If D'' is the vertical composition of squares

(9.1.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d, "q"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D"] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "p"] \\
X_2 \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[d, "q'"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "D'"] & Y_2 \arrow[d, "p'"] \\
X_3 \arrow[r, "i_3"] & Y_3
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX_1 \arrow[r, "F(i_1)"] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D)"] & FY_1 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "F(p)"] & FY_1 \arrow[dd, "F(p'p)"'] \\
FX_2 \arrow[r, "F(i_2)"] \arrow[d, "F(q')"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D')"] & FY_2 \arrow[d, "F(p')"] & \\
FX_3 \arrow[r, "F(i_3)"] & FY_3 \arrow[r, equal] & FY_3
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX_1 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] & FX_1 \arrow[r, "F(i_1)"] \arrow[dd, "F(q'q)"'] \arrow[ddr, phantom, "F(D'')"] & FY_1 \arrow[dd, "F(p'p)"] \\
FX_2 \arrow[d, "F(q')"'] & & \\
FX_3 \arrow[r, equal] & FX_3 \arrow[r, "F(i_3)"] & FY_3.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b′) If D'' is the horizontal composition of squares (2.10.3) in $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX_1 \arrow[rr, "F(jj')"] \arrow[d, equal] & & FX_3 \arrow[d, equal] \\
FX_1 \arrow[r, "F(j)"] \arrow[d, "F(p_1)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D)"] & FX_2 \arrow[r, "F(j')"] \arrow[d, "F(p_2)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D')"] & FX_3 \arrow[d, "F(p_3)"] \\
FY_1 \arrow[r, "F(i)"'] & FY_2 \arrow[r, "F(i')"'] & FY_3
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX_1 \arrow[rr, "F(jj')"] \arrow[d, "F(p_1)"'] \arrow[drr, phantom, "F(D'')"] & & FX_3 \arrow[d, "F(p_3)"] \\
FY_1 \arrow[rr, "F(i'i)"] \arrow[d, equal] & & FY_3 \arrow[d, equal] \\
FY_1 \arrow[r, "F(i)"] & FY_2 \arrow[r, "F(i')"] & FY_3.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next we define horizontal and vertical pseudonatural transformations.

Definition 9.2. Let $F, G\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ be double pseudofunctors, $S \subseteq \mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{D})$ be a subset such that $(\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{D}), \mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{D}), \mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{D}), S)$ is a double subcategory of $\mathbb{D}$. A *horizontal pseudonatural transformation relative to* S, $\theta\colon F \to G$, consists of a pseudonatural transformation $\mathbf{H}F \to \mathbf{H}G$ and a function $\mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{C}) \to S$ that to each vertical morphism $p\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathbb{C}$ associates an element of S of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, "F(p)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(p)"] & GX \arrow[d, "G(p)"] \\
FY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] & GY,
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying the following axioms

(1) For any object X of $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] & FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, "F(\mathbf{1}_X)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(\mathbf{1}_X)"] & GX \arrow[d, "G(\mathbf{1}_X)"] \\
FX \arrow[r, equal] & FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] & GX
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\mathbf{1}"] & FX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, equal] & GX \arrow[d, "G(\mathbf{1}_X)"] \\
FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] & GX \arrow[r, equal] & GX.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) For any sequence $X \xrightarrow{p} Y \xrightarrow{q} Z$ of vertical morphisms in $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, "F(p)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(p)"] & GX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "G(p)"] & GX \arrow[dd, "G(qp)"] \\
FY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(q)"] & GY \arrow[d, "G(q)"] & \\
FZ \arrow[r, "\theta Z"] & GZ \arrow[r, equal] & GZ
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "F(p)"'] & FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[dd, "F(qp)"'] \arrow[ddr, phantom, "\theta(qp)"] & GX \arrow[dd, "G(qp)"] \\
FY \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] & & \\
FZ \arrow[r, equal] & FZ \arrow[r, "\theta Z"] & GZ.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3) For any square (2.10.1) in $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, equal] & GX \arrow[r, "G(j)"] & GY \arrow[d, equal] \\
FX \arrow[r, "F(j)"] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "F(D)"] & FY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] \arrow[d, "F(p)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(p)"] & GY \arrow[d, "G(p)"] \\
FZ \arrow[r, "F(i)"] & FW \arrow[r, "\theta W"] & GW
\end{tikzcd}
=
\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(q)"] & GX \arrow[r, "G(j)"] \arrow[d, "G(q)"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "G(D)"] & GY \arrow[d, "G(p)"] \\
FZ \arrow[r, "\theta Z"] \arrow[d, equal] & GZ \arrow[r, "G(i)"] & GW \arrow[d, equal] \\
FZ \arrow[r, "F(i)"] & FW \arrow[r, "\theta W"] & GW.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define a *vertical pseudonatural transformation relative to* S, $\pi\colon F \to G$, to be a horizontal pseudonatural transformation $F^t \to G^t$ relative to S^t, where $S^t \subseteq \mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{D}^t)$ is the set of squares whose transposes are in S. In other words, θ consists of a pseudonatural transformation $\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{V}\mathbb{D}$ and a function $\mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{C}) \to S$ satisfying the appropriate axioms.

Definition 9.3. Let $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{D}$ be double categories, $S \subseteq \mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{D})$ be a subset such that $(\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{D}), \mathrm{Hor}(\mathbb{D}), \mathrm{Ver}(\mathbb{D}), S)$ is a double subcategory of $\mathbb{D}$. We define a double category $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ as follows. Objects of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ are double pseudofunctors $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$. Horizontal morphisms of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ are horizontal natural transformations relative to S. Vertical morphisms of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ are vertical natural transformations relative to S. A square of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "\rho"'] & G \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
H \arrow[r, "\theta"] & I
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a function $D\colon \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Sq}(\mathbb{D})$ that associates to each object X of $\mathbb{C}$ a square of $\mathbb{D}$ of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\iota X"] \arrow[d, "\rho X"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "DX"] & GX \arrow[d, "\pi X"] \\
HX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] & IX,
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying the following axioms

(1) For any horizontal morphism $i\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, "\iota X"] \arrow[d, "\rho X"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "DX"] & GX \arrow[r, "G(i)"] \arrow[d, "\pi X"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\pi(i)"] & GY \arrow[d, "\pi Y"] & & FX \arrow[r, "\iota X"] \arrow[d, equal] & GX \arrow[r, "G(i)"] & GY \arrow[d, equal] \\
HX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, equal] & IX \arrow[r, "I(i)"] & IY \arrow[d, equal] & = & FX \arrow[r, "F(i)"] \arrow[d, "\rho X"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\rho(i)"] & FY \arrow[r, "\iota Y"] \arrow[d, "\rho Y"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "DY"] & GY \arrow[d, "\pi Y"] \\
HX \arrow[r, "H(i)"] & HY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] & IY & & HX \arrow[r, "H(i)"] & HY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] & IY.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) For any vertical morphism $p\colon X \to Y$ of $\mathbb{C}$, the following identity of squares holds in $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
FX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "F(p)"'] & FX \arrow[r, "\iota X"] \arrow[d, "\rho X"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "DX"] & GX \arrow[d, "\pi X"] & & FX \arrow[r, "\iota X"] \arrow[d, "F(p)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\iota(p)"] & GX \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "G(p)"] & GX \arrow[d, "\pi X"] \\
FY \arrow[d, "\rho Y"'] & HX \arrow[r, "\theta X"] \arrow[d, "H(p)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\theta(p)"] & IX \arrow[d, "I(p)"] & = & FY \arrow[r, "\iota Y"] \arrow[d, "\rho Y"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "DY"] & GY \arrow[d, "\pi Y"] & IX \arrow[d, "I(p)"] \\
HY \arrow[r, equal] & HY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] & IY & & HY \arrow[r, "\theta Y"] & IY \arrow[r, equal] & IY.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Compositions in $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ are given by compositions in $\mathbb{D}$.

Remark 9.4. It follows from the definitions that we have an isomorphism of double categories

$$\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{P}\mathrm{sFun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \mathbb{P}\mathrm{sFun}_S(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{D}),$$

where S is the set of squares in $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{D}$ given by invertible 2-cells in $\mathcal{D}$.

References

[1] J. Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I. *Astérisque*, 314, 2007. 1, 22, 23, 30, 40

[2] A. Bastiani and C. Ehresmann. Multiple functors. I. Limits relative to double categories. *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle*, 15(3):215–292, 1974. 10

[3] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise. Triangulated categories of mixed motives. Preprint, `arXiv:0912.2110v2`, 2009. 1

[4] P. Deligne. Cohomologie à supports propres. In *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, volume 305 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 250–480. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J.-L. Verdier, Tome 3, Exposé XVII. 1, 23, 30

[5] C. Ehresmann. Catégories structurées. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3)*, 80:349–426, 1963. 7

[6] T. M. Fiore. Pseudo algebras and pseudo double categories. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 2(2):119–170, 2007. 46

[7] T. M. Fiore and S. Paoli. A Thomason model structure on the category of small n-fold categories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(4):1933–2008, 2010. 6, 7

[8] O. Gabber and L. Ramero. Foundations for almost ring theory. Preprint, `arXiv:math/0409584v7`, 2012. 2

[9] A. Grothendieck. Catégorie fibrée et descente. In *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, volume 3 of *Documents Mathématiques*, pages 145–194. Société Mathématique de France, 2003. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1960–1961 (SGA 1), dirigé par A. Grothendieck, Exposé VI. 1

[10] L. Illusie and W. Zheng. Odds and ends on finite group actions and traces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2012. Advance Access. 37

[11] Y. Liu and W. Zheng. Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for sheaves on Artin stacks. Preprint, `http://math.columbia.edu/~zheng/bc1b.pdf`, 2012. 2, 39

[12] Y. Liu and W. Zheng. Gluing restricted nerves of ∞-categories. Preprint, `http://math.columbia.edu/~zheng/sixo.pdf`, submitted, 2012. 2, 38

[13] J. Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. 7

[14] M. Shulman. Comparing composites of left and right derived functors. *New York J. Math.*, 17:75–125, 2011. 46

[15] W. Zheng. Six operations on Deligne-Mumford stacks. Preprint, `arXiv:1006.3810v2`, 2012. 1, 2, 30